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The title of the exhibition *The Disquieted Muses. When La Biennale di Venezia Meets History* does not just convey the content that visitors to the Central Pavilion in the Giardini della Biennale will encounter, but also a vision.

Disquiet serves as a driving force behind research, which requires dialogue to verify its theories and needs history to absorb knowledge. This is what La Biennale does and will continue to do as it seeks to reinforce a methodology that creates even stronger bonds between its own disciplines.

There are six Muses at the Biennale: Art, Architecture, Cinema, Theatre, Music and Dance, given a voice through the great events that fill Venice and the world every year.

There are the places that serve as venues for all of La Biennale’s activities: the Giardini, the Arsenale, the Palazzo del Cinema and other cinemas on the Lido, the theatres, the city of Venice itself. But there is also one place where, when the exhibitions and festivals are over, the research continues and opens out onto the world: the Historical Archives of Contemporary Arts (ASAC). This is a place where knowledge is consolidated over time, where it becomes permanent and extends beyond the limited timeframe of the exhibitions. The exhibition that opens on 29 August is an important step in this process, a new beginning, a shared experience and a search for common goals. The six current artistic directors and those who will come after them are well aware that their work (which is often prescient and visionary) must endure over time, assisted by the unparalleled capabilities of new digital technologies. An archive preserves, restores, and keeps guard over the years so that its content may always be available for use in the best possible condition and it can finally present to the world the wealth of what it holds, consisting in the work of artists, curators, specialists and scholars, or even ordinary visitors.

I would like to thank Cecilia Alemani, Hashim Sarkis, Alberto Barbera, Antonio Latella, Ivan Fedele and Marie Chouinard for accepting this particularly difficult challenge, carried out in record time and under complex circumstances. And I would like to thank the ASAC in its entirety and La Biennale team for embracing this adventure with such enthusiasm.

Roberto Cicutto
President of La Biennale di Venezia
The exhibition *Le muse inquiete (The Disquieted Muses)* takes us on a journey through the archive of La Biennale di Venezia, focusing on key moments when the wars, upheavals, generational conflicts and profound cultural transformations of the twentieth century reshaped the boundaries of this Venetian institution. In a period of global instability that over the course of just a few months has brought a succession of environmental disasters, new pandemics, and social revolutions, La Biennale di Venezia serves as a wellspring and channel for the most innovative currents in the artistic disciplines of our era – but also continues to bear witness to the many shifts and crises that have supervened from the late nineteenth century to the present, like a seismometer recording the tremors of history.

Over its 125 years of activity, La Biennale di Venezia has come face to face with history in some of its most dramatic incarnations. As an arena for diplomatic manoeuvres and political alliances in the first half of the twentieth century, La Biennale was visited by monarchs, dictators, heads of state, and revolutionaries, but also hosted protests and celebrations in which the arts echoed cultural paradigm shifts and changing mores. In 1920 and 1948 La Biennale rose from the ashes of two devastating world wars, standing as a beacon of hope for the rebirth of civil society in Italy and other nations. In the 1960s and '70s the Venetian institution was rocked by a wave of social and political transformations that redefined the relationship between the individual and the masses, as well as the power dynamics of the East, West, and Global South. In the '90s, after the collapse of the Cold War blocs, La Biennale adopted new artistic languages that ushered in a more global outlook and opened its doors to new geopolitical influences. Decade by decade, La Biennale also reflected changes in taste and in the bounds of propriety, amid scandals, episodes of censorship, and new mappings of desire. The exhibition *Le muse inquiete* showcases historical documents, archive materials, photographs, rare documentary film and artworks from the prestigious historical archives of ASAC (founded in 1928) and other Italian collections and institutions, casting light on La Biennale’s past and the way it has intersected with global events, illustrating and generating...
institutional ruptures, political and ethical crises and also new creative idioms.
The exhibition’s title is a reference to the Muses: the Greek goddesses, daughters of Zeus and Mnemosyne, who embody the various artistic disciplines and serve here as a metaphor for the Biennale’s six departments: the Visual Arts, Architecture, Cinema, Dance, Music and Theatre. As the daughters of memory, the muses looked back to the past, but also imagined new worlds and new possibilities through the power of artistic creation. The title is also a reference to the famous Giorgio de Chirico painting Le muse inquietanti (The Disquieting Muses, 1916), exhibited at the 24th International Art Exhibition in 1948. In this exhibition the muses are disquieted because they are grappling with the world outside the confines of art. Le muse inquiete is the first exhibition in the history of La Biennale to be conceived at the intersection of the six disciplines that are its main spheres of experimentation, bringing episodes in its past into dialogue with the events of the twentieth century, and retracing key moments when La Biennale crossed paths with history in Venice. CA
Origins
La Biennale was founded in 1893 as a local public institution with the mission of organising international art exhibitions, which from the very start were meant to be recurring. The first International Art Exhibition of the City of Venice was held in 1895. The secretary general kept up contacts with delegates from participating countries to ensure a unified approach and overall artistic direction, providing the president with a suggested list of artists and works to be exhibited, including those to be shown in the “regional halls” (featuring Italian art) and “foreign halls” (with art from other nations). The exhibition was divided into two parts, as Paolo Baratta highlighted in his introduction to the yearbook that retraces the history of Biennale Arte: “the dualism between the exhibition of invited artists and the showcase of work accepted by the jury was a feature of La Biennale’s life for many years, effectively until 1956” (Note 1). Together with the subsequent creation of a sales office (which remained operational until 1968, before being turned into a “sales service” and then removed definitively in 1973), this aspect made for the clear primacy of Italian artists and was among the factors that determined the significant influence of artist unions in the life of La Biennale. The art exhibition was held in the Central Pavilion, called Pro Arte. Starting in 1907, for many years, national pavilions were built in the Giardini by participating countries. In 1928 the historical archive called Istituto Storico d’Arte Contemporanea and later (after 1930) as Archivio Storico delle Arti Contemporanee – ASAC was created here.

In 1930, with Royal Decree Law no. 33 of 13 January 1930, La Biennale was turned into a state-controlled body. Rather than a straightforward organisation set up to hold art exhibitions, it became an institution with a vast array of duties: in 1930 the music department was established and in 1932 the first Venice Film Festival was held, soon followed by the Theatre Festival in 1934. With a further reform of the statute in 1938 (Royal Decree Law no. 1517 of 21/07/38), this new dimension and wider responsibilities were confirmed. After an earlier transformation, the Central Pavilion’s facade was redone in 1932 and it was renamed Padiglione Italia, in keeping with the political ideology of a regime whose aim was to make the Biennale first a tool of intense cultural diplomacy, and then of nationalist aspirations to hegemony (Note 2).
From the 1970s to the 1998 reform

A reform to the statute was debated in the late 1960s and partially enacted in 1973 (Law no. 438 of 26/7/1973); though welcomed as a great step forward, it did not ultimately turn out to be such. The change expanded its representative organs, adding administrative representatives from the government and the management (which had been state-run for some time) and political representatives from elected local bodies, as well as trade union representatives. The board of directors thus came to be made up of eighteen members (Note 3). Uncertainties and a lack of continuity led to demands for further reform and, in 1998, Legislative Decree no. 19 of 29 January 1998 transformed La Biennale di Venezia from a state-controlled public body into one that was public yet governed by private law, with a great deal of autonomy, a corporate structure, and private-sector employment contracts (the standard collective agreements used in commerce). It was headed by a president and a board of directors made up of just five members. A further amendment to the statute (Legislative Decree no. 1 of 8 January 2004) confirmed this reform, changing the organisation’s name from “Società di Cultura La Biennale di Venezia” to “Fondazione La Biennale di Venezia”. Following on from the 1998 reform two further policies were adopted: the event was to consist of a single international exhibition overseen by a sole curator, flanked by the pavilions of the various participating countries; it was also to be equipped with new spaces for its “own” exhibition and for new countries that did not have pavilions. Structurally speaking, it was to have two vast permanent spaces. The first would be the white-walled Central Pavilion, supplemented over the years by various public services and the ASAC library; the second would be the historic Arsenale, an exhibition structure with unique architecture that would also include important spaces for the Biennale College services and activities. As part of the new layout, a new Padiglione Italia was built in the midst of the Arsenale pavilions, to host the Italian projects curated by MiBACT. DR

2 See “Diplomazia culturale”, op. cit.
3 See “Gli anni Settanta, lo statuto del 1973”, op. cit.
1932 marked the first Venice International Film Festival, which was established for very clear reasons. In the 1920s La Biennale President Giuseppe Volpi Conte di Misurata (1877-1947), who was Mussolini’s finance minister, and Vittorio Cini, a powerful captain of industry, drew up a development plan for Venice. It was no longer to be a perfect whole, but divided up into distinct economic development districts: the Lido as the fashionable beach, Marghera as the industrial hub, and the historic centre as the tourist attraction. After the Wall Street Crash of 1929, tourism languished and Venice’s hotels, including Volpi’s own Excelsior, needed a boost. In the space of a few weeks, Antonio Maraini, secretary general of La Biennale di Venezia and a close confidant of the prominent Fascist Achille Starace, together with Luciano De Feo, director of the Istituto Internazionale per la Cinematografia Educativa (International Institute for Educational Cinematography), launched the first film festival in history on Hotel Excelsior’s seafront terrace. The Fascist regime still had no full-blown plan for the cinema and thought of the festival just as a seaside social event. The Duce resisted attempts to persuade him to join the event’s honorary committee or grant it official patronage, and the first Venice International Film Festival was largely funded by Volpi’s own hotel company, Compagnia Italiana Grandi Alberghi. The event did not remain a no-man’s-land for long, however, and was gradually brought into the Fascist fold. As early as 1935 the uniformed Fascist leaders began to arrive and “Coppa Mussolini” awards were handed out by the Associazione Nazionale Fascista (National Fascist Association). In 1934, Adolf Hitler visited the 19th International Art Exhibition, but left the film starlets to Joseph Goebbels, the Third Reich’s propaganda minister, who came to the Lido in 1936. Goebbels attended the exhibition every year, making it one of the key events that strengthened the alliance between Rome and Berlin. It was clearly no coincidence that the “Mussolini Cup” was won by pro-regime films such as Augusto Genina’s Lo

1932 - 1939
squadrone bianco (1936), Luis Trenker’s Der Kaiser von Kalifornien (1936), Carmine Gallone’s Scipione l’Africano (1937), Leni Riefenstahl’s Olympia (1938), and Goffredo Alessandrini’s Luciano Serra pilota (1938) and Abuna Messias (1939).

Despite the regime’s favouritism towards Italian and German films, which in 1939 kept the Americans from attending, and despite the official speeches by Minister Dino Alfieri, festival directors Luciano De Feo and Attilio Fontana (1932-34) and Ottavio Croze (1935-1942) managed to bring films such as Ernst Lubitsch’s The Man I Killed / Broken Lullaby (1932), Nikolai Ekk’s Putyovka v zhizn (1932), Robert J. Flaherty’s Man of Aran (1934), John Ford’s The Informer (1935), Jean Renoir’s La Grande Illusion (1937), Michael Powell’s The Edge of the World (1937) and Marcel Carné’s Quai des brumes (1938) to the Festival. GG
On 1 March 1927 La Biennale di Venezia appointed a new secretary general, the Florentine sculptor and art critic Antonio Maraini, to replace Vittorio Pica, who had overseen the postwar editions (1920, 1922, 1924 and 1926). Maraini asked various influential friends – Ugo Ojetti, Margherita Sarfatti and Marcello Piacentini – to assist him in organising the exhibition. Maraini’s familiarity with the Italian artistic milieu enabled him to bring artists such as Massimo Campigli, Carlo Carrà, Felice Casorati, Virgilio Guïdi, Tullio Garbari, Pio Semeghini, Gino Severini, Mario Sironi, Ardengo Soffici, Alberto Tosi, Lorenzo Viani, Arturo Martini, Marino Marini and Giorgio Morandi into the limelight. Internationally speaking, important artists such as Georges Rouault, Édouard Vuillard, Suzanne Valadon, Maurice de Vlaminck, Marc Chagall, Max Beckmann, Otto Dix, Emil Nolde and Piet Mondrian were shown at the Biennale, many for the first time. Maraini’s first edition, the 16th International Art Exhibition, closed on 4 November 1928 as an overall success, given the significant attendance and excellent critical response. In 1928 Maraini inaugurated the Istituto Storico d’Arte Contemporanea (La Biennale’s archives) at the Palazzo Ducale, transforming La Biennale di Venezia from a straightforward exhibition organiser to a cultural institution with many functions. This was part of a wider Fascist government plan for creating major cultural institutions, such as the Istituto per l’Enciclopedia Italiana (Institute for the Italian Encyclopedia), Reale Accademia d’Italia (Royal Italian Academy) and Istituto Nazionale Fascista di Cultura (National Fascist Institute of Culture), and showed the Fascist propensity to view the arts as a political propaganda tool. On 13 January 1930 the Biennale was made independent and its name was changed from the “International Art Exhibition of the City of Venice” to the “International Contemporary Art Exhibition”. Its board was reduced to just five members, including the president and a secretary general. The president was no longer to be Venice’s mayor, and the Venetian industrialist Giuseppe Volpi, Count of Misurata and a
former finance minister in the Mussolini government, was appointed instead. From 1930 onwards the Biennale was a fully Fascist organisation. Maraini’s ambition was to make Venice an international art centre, a “Geneva of the arts”. To this end he invited new nations to build national pavilions in the Giardini, as the United States did in 1930. On the other hand, he worked to increase acceptance and recognition of Italian art internationally, promoting a great many exhibitions abroad. In these same years, Maraini added three sectors to La Biennale – music, cinema and theatre – each with their own events: the Festival of Contemporary Music in 1930, the Venice Film Festival in 1932, and the Theatre Festival in 1934. VP
2.1 Secretary General
Antonio Maraini

Antonio Maraini (1886-1963) began interweaving his own history with that of the Venice Biennale early on. In 1924 his sculptures were showcased at the Giardini with a solo room holding forty-eight works. They were presented in the catalogue by Lionello Venturi. On the other hand, as an art critic he contributed regularly to periodicals such as Domus, Dedalo, La Ronda, L’Illustrazione Italiana and The Studio. In 1926 he was appointed to the board of La Biennale at the recommendation of Venetian writer and politician Pompeo Gherardo Molmenti and then, in 1927, was offered the post of secretary general. He set to work right away: in July 1927 he wrote a report to the president (mayor Pietro Orsi) in which, on one hand, he insisted on the need to keep his Biennale work temporary – i.e. limited to one year – whilst on the other he highlighted the continuity he saw as important for the institution. In 1929 Venice’s new mayor Ettore Zorzi immediately took issue with Maraini, blaming him, in particular, for a municipal budget deficit of around 1,100,000 lire due to renovation work on the exhibition buildings. To defend himself from this criticism, Maraini sought support in Rome, and on 2 February 1929 a message reached Mussolini’s office: “Maraini, who very recently joined the Fascist Party, would appear to be a man of irreproachable moral and political conduct”. His appointment as La Biennale’s secretary general was thus confirmed. From 1930 onwards, with its institutional independence and Giuseppe Volpi di Misurata’s presidency, it was legally recognised as Italy’s primary state exhibition. In addition to the decorative arts exhibitions which had been transferred from Monza to Milan in 1927 (the Triennale), a national contemporary art exhibition was being planned for Rome which was to have been the Biennale’s great rival, the Quadriennale.

Maraini succeeded in controlling these rival institutions from within, thanks to his new appointment as president of the Sindacato Nazionale Fascista delle Belle Arti (National Fascist Union of the Fine Arts), officialised in 1932. In the spring of 1929 Maraini took part in the first Biennale exhibition abroad, a showcase in Nice of the “Novecento Italiano” movement promoted by Margherita Sarfatti. 1932 was another watershed in the life of the Biennale, which was now the linchpin for organising a great many activities. In addition to the 18th International Art Exhibition the biggest news was the inauguration of the Venice Pavilion for the applied arts. In these same months the Biennale hosted its First International Congress of Contemporary Art, held its first poetry conference, and introduced the Premio del Gondoliere prize, won by Giuseppe Ungaretti. VP

2.1A The Biennale Arte, 1927 - 1932

Maraini’s first step in his modernisation plans for La Biennale was to summon architects – whose work was thus featured for the first time alongside that of painters and sculptors – to renovate and redesign the Palazzo dell’Esposizione. The secretary commissioned Gio Ponti to build the modern dome over Galileo Chini’s frescoes; Marcello Piacentini to modernise the main
space, the Salone delle Feste; and Brenno del Giudice to add a terrace coffee bar overlooking the Sant’Elena canal. Maraini also came up with the idea of using the Palazzo dell’Esposizione to house a series of exhibitions, each of them curated by a different art critic: the theatre arts exhibition was entrusted to Margherita Sarfatti, the nineteenth-century Italian painting exhibition to Ugo Ojetti, and the School of Paris exhibition to René Paresc. Maraini’s attention to exhibition design led him to set aside certain rooms for the decorative arts, for the first time in La Biennale’s history. The roofing over of the Art Nouveau frescoes that had decorated the octagonal Palazzo dell’Esposizione since 1909 began without notifying the artist concerned, Galileo Chini, who only found out at the end of that year’s Biennale (1928) and threatened to sue the institution. The official exhibition poster was also designed to highlight the difference between Maraini’s new conception and that of his predecessor Vittorio Pica. Comparing the 1926 poster designed by Brenno del Giudice with the 1928 poster designed by Futurist Giulio Rosso, one immediately notes a radically different treatment of the same subject: the Palazzo Ducale. While the former depicts the building in a two-dimensional image surrounded by a very ornate gilded frame, the latter seems to project the Doge’s Palace into the future with bold perspective that creates a solid, geometric vision, tinged with bright colours. It gives the impression that a whole new era has been ushered in. In 1932 the architect Duilio Torres was commissioned to modernise the Palazzo dell’Esposizione facade, using four simple columns to give it a markedly rational look. Above the cornice, for the first time, the word ITALIA was added between two square blocks by sculptor Tony Lucarda, portraying St Mark’s lion and the eagle of imperial Rome. VP

2.1B The Biennale Arte, 1934 - 1938

These are the years in which Mussolini, Hitler, Goebbels, and the king of Italy all visited the Biennale, demonstrating its importance as a stage for new Italian alliances with the world. In June 1934 Hitler came to Venice to meet Mussolini for the first time. His flight landed on the Lido, where the Duce was waiting. Volpi and Maraini picked up the German chancellor and took him to the Giardini to visit the Biennale right away. He was offered a painting with a lagoon view by Fioravante Seibezzi which he refused, saying “It doesn’t do justice to Venice... for me”. He then paused to look at another work: Barche (Boats) by Memo Vagaggini, a Tuscan realist. Maraini pointed out the chancellor’s interest in it to Volpi, saying – in Venetian dialect – “I’ll give it to him myself”. Hitler accepted with the hint of a smile. The Führer admired very few of the artworks, though he stopped to praise a “large and expressive” peasant woman by Soviet sculptor Vera Mukhina, and Édouard Manet’s 1874 painting La dame aux éventails (Woman with Fans). He admired neither his German pavilion building nor the work inside, commenting: “I don’t know much about painting. To understand painting one must make comparisons. I can’t do that. I know architecture. I like architecture.” In 1937, in Munich, the Führer presented an Entartete Kunst (Degenerate Art) exhibition, and banned all modern
art. Adolf Ziegler, the neo-Renaissance painter who had organised the Degenerate Art Exhibition, was appointed president of the Reich Chamber of Fine Arts and commissar for German participation in Venice. Prior to Hitler’s arrival in Italy in 1938 the German pavilion had been remodelled in neo-Greek style by architect Ernst Haiger, creating a geometric, rationalist building. Above the entrance was the symbol of Nazi Germany, an eagle on a swastika. 

Garzia Fioresi, *The March*, 1940

Garzia Fioresi was the pseudonym of Alfredo Grandi, born in Vigevano, near Pavia, on 3 June 1888. In 1902 he moved with his family to Bologna, where he studied at the Accademia di Belle Arti. His work was accepted at important Italian exhibitions such as those of the Secessione Romana, the Premio Francesco Francia in Bologna, and the Biennale and Quadriennale in Rome. He was an almost constant fixture at La Biennale di Venezia from 1912 to 1942. For the 1940 edition, fifteen of his paintings were selected, along with a cartoon for a mosaic made by the Gianese firm in Venice. The mosaic panel exhibited in a small connecting gallery of the Central Pavilion was incorrectly titled *La Marcia su Roma* (The March on Rome) in the catalogue when, in other documents, it appears simply as *La Marcia*. The image is an allegorical depiction of a Fascist parade. The Duce is on horseback in military attire, leading a group made up of soldiers, Blackshirts, women and peasants. A naked child brings up the rear as a symbol of purity. Winged figures of victory watch the scene from the sky. The roman numeral XVII indicates the Fascist calendar year that the cartoon was painted – late 1938 to early 1939. The artist also had experience with the art of mosaic itself: in 1935 he made a large panel (10 x 7 metres) for the facade of the new Reggio Emilia railway station depicting the “proclamation of the tricolour flag”, a work which was destroyed during World War Two.

The Biennale Arte, 1940 - 1942

Despite the challenging international situation in May 1940, when the war in Europe had been raging since autumn and Italy was poised to enter it a month later, the Biennale Arte opened its doors for the 22nd edition. With a revised statute setting up a new board alongside an executive council and three subcommittees for the figurative, cinematic, dramatic and musical arts respectively, Fascist control was now total. Artists were chosen by direct invitation or through competitions for works such as frescoes and bas-reliefs on Fascist themes. In these years the exhibition featured currents that ran counter to the avant-garde, favouring harmony, tradition and balance and classic themes such as motherhood, work and family, which resulted in a showcase of mediocre art in a nineteenth-century style. Preparations for the 1942 Biennale were even more challenging: Italy was at war and the Venetian institution had neither staff nor resources to work with. Italy’s cultural isolation led to vacuous editions where the absence of international participation was more visible than its presence. This period seems summed up by the title of an article by Gio Ponti in *Stile* magazine that railed directly against Maraini: “Italian Art Is Superior to How It Appears in the Venice Biennale”. Maraini was now under attack from many sides but not yet ready to give up, as one sees from his busy preparations for the never-to-be-held 1944 exhibition. In 1943 his power declined and he was
replaced as president of the Sindacato Fascista degli Artisti; the following year he presented the Ministry of National Education with his resignation from La Biennale, bringing to an end a dazzling career of absolute dominance over artistic life during Fascism. VP

2.1C Corrado Forlin, Bersaglieri Attacking, 1942

Corrado Forlin was born in Monselice on 1 May 1912. After military service in Florence, where he got his fill of Renaissance art, he returned to his hometown near Padua, studied drawing at night school, and then had a revelation: "I picked up a book by Marinetti, L’alcova d’acciaio. I read it even while eating, so as not to waste time. My family thought I was going mad as I laughed, squirmed, and applauded in excitement". The relationship between the young Forlin and his idol Marinetti was probably forged in the halls of the 1936 Biennale, when Marinetti was granted use of the Soviet pavilion for his new Futurist exhibition. On 31 October that same year, the first Futurist group exhibition was held in Monselice. Forlin exhibited three paintings and a sculpture, including portraits of D’Annunzio and Marinetti titled Il poeta di fuoco (The Poet of Fire) and Il poeta d’acciaio (The Poet of Steel). Marinetti came to the provincial town on 17 December. From 1938 to 1942 Forlin was invited to take part in many editions of the Art Exhibition. In 1942 he exhibited four paintings with Fascist and military themes: Ardentismo della Battaglia del Grano, Ardentismo di Bersaglieri all’imbarco, Ardentismo di Bersaglieri in sogno, Ardentismo di Bersaglieri all’assalto. In Bersaglieri all’assalto (Bersaglieri Attacking) the perspective is that of a soldier following other troops into battle. Despite being shown in movement, the figures are well-defined, and Forlin uses complementary colours – green and red – in an interesting way to make them stand out against a blazing background. In the autumn of 1942 Corrado Forlin volunteered for combat in Russia, where he died sometime between December 1942 and the first few months of 1943. His body was never found. VP

2.2A Max Reinhardt, The Merchant of Venice, 1934

With his staging of The Merchant of Venice, Max Reinhardt was among the artists who inaugurated the first International Theatre Festival in 1934. Considered one of the greatest directors of all time, a pioneer in the historic shift from plot to character, Reinhardt had been forced by Nazi policies to leave Berlin in 1932, taking refuge first in Salzburg and then in the United States, where he died in 1943. Though also known for his work in film, Reinhardt devoted most of his career to the theatre, considering live performance an immortal art whose immediacy and irreproducibility can forge a “mystic union” between actor and audience. As artistic director of the Berliner Volksbühne, founded as the theatre of working-class Berlin, he was repeatedly censured for his stagings of Frank Wedekind and Arthur Schnitzler. The decision to bring Reinhardt to the Biennale showed that the Theatre Festival took an internationalist approach right from the start, in a historical context where the dramatic arts were becoming an integral, indispensable part of Italian culture. A visionary author who drew on the world of the fantastic, Max Reinhardt overcame anti-Semitism through art, winning two Oscars in 1936 for the cinematography and editing of his film A Midsummer Night’s Dream, as well as a
After various trips to Venice, Max Reinhardt chose to stage *The Merchant of Venice* in Campo San Trovaso, in an Italian version translated by Paola Ojetti which ran for four performances. The almost entirely Italian cast included Memo Benassi as the wealthy Jewish moneylender Shylock, whose actions, in this directorial version, are justified by the disdain he is treated with by the Venetians. It was an entirely original reading of the work in its day. However, as in most of Reinhardt’s theatre, what prevailed was the choral effect of the whole. FB

### “Degenerate music”

Adolf Hitler’s regime, which held power in Germany from 1933 to 1945, applied the label “degenerate art” (*entartete Kunst*) to all forms of art considered contrary to Nazi ideals. In this political context, the term “degenerate music” (*entartete Musik*) was also used to condemn forms deemed corrupt or decadent. This naturally encompassed, first and foremost, the music of Jewish composers such as Felix Mendelssohn, Giacomo Meyerbeer and Gustav Mahler, and most contemporary musicians who were experimenting with new languages (like the twelve-tone system). The reasons behind this were not only aesthetic – given the non-traditional models and styles – but racial and ideological. Many eminent composers in this period were Jewish, like Arnold Schönberg, or both Jewish and Communist, like Kurt Weill. The programmes for the International Festival of Music throughout the 1930s are interesting, however: until 1938 (the year Hitler visited Rome and he and Mussolini moved towards closer cooperation) the Teatro Goldoni calendar included the work of important exponents of “degenerate music” on a fairly regular basis, with figures such as Arnold Schönberg, Alban Berg, Ernst Krenek, Paul Hindemith, Igor Stravinsky and Béla Bartók. CF

#### Ernst Krenek, *Cephalus and Procris*, 1934

The 3rd International Festival of Contemporary Music was held in 1934 at a time of great tension between Mussolini’s Italy and Hitler’s Germany, since the latter was intent on annexing Austria (on 25 July 1934, Chancellor Engelbert Dollfuss had been assassinated in Vienna). Mussolini was pressing for a major commission to be entrusted to an Austrian composer and Alfredo Casella, a member of the festival’s executive board, chose Ernst Krenek (1900-1991) – ironically, the author of *Der Diktator* (1928), inspired by Mussolini himself, *Cefalo e Procri* (*Cephalus and Procris*), based on Rinaldo Küfferle’s Italian libretto, employed twelve-tone technique and was performed at Teatro Goldoni together with two other chamber pieces by Vittorio Rieti and Antonio Veretti respectively. CF


One of the most important scores of the early twentieth century, *Music for Strings, Percussion and Celesta* (1936) was a composition by Béla Bartók (1881-1945) commissioned by Paul Sacher. Divided into four movements, it is an emblematic example of a sophisticated modernist style combining tonal experimentation with echoes of folk music, but also a classical sense of form. In a concert at the 1937 Festival directed by Fernando Previtali (1907-1985) at
Teatro Goldoni, Music for Strings, Percussion and Celesta was performed for the first time in Italy together with another piece destined to make twentieth-century musical history, Sergei Prokofiev’s orchestral suite based on his score for the film Poruchik Kizhe (Lieutenant Kijé, 1934). CF

2.2B Igor Stravinskij, Jeu de cartes, 1937
A ballet in three “deals”, inspired by a poker game and dominated by the diabolical figure of the Joker, Stravinsky’s Jeu de cartes debuted in New York on 27 April 1937 with choreography by George Balanchine. The orchestral version of the score, which shows sparkling creativity and a virtuoso Neoclassical approach (with an abundance of citations and reworkings from Tchaikovsky, Rossini, Johann Strauss, and Belle Époque dances) was performed for the first time at the festival on 12 September 1937 to great public acclaim; it was part of an evening entirely devoted to premiere performances conducted by their composers, including pieces by Gian Francesco Malipiero and Darius Milhaud. CF

2.2B Paul Hindemith, Nobilissima visione, 1938
From 1933 to 1935 the composition of the work Mathis der Maler (Zurich, 1938) marked the composer’s break with Modernism and return to traditional values (linear melody, carefully crafted counterpoint, tonal harmony) and, at the same time, paradoxically, his marginalisation and condemnation by the Nazi regime, which obliged him to emigrate to the United States in 1940. The ballet Nobilissima visione, inspired by the life of St Francis, was performed in London on 21 July 1938. Paul Hindemith adapted the ballet for orchestra and the work was performed for the very first time on 13 September 1938 at the 6th International Festival of Contemporary Music at Teatro La Fenice. CF

2.2C Jia Ruskaja, An Andersen Fairytale, 1934
In 1934, the year Mussolini and Hitler met for the first time in Stra, near Venice, on 12 June, the ballet Una Favola di Andersen (An Andersen Fairytale), based on “The Little Match Girl” and with a score by Antonio Veretti, was staged at Teatro Goldoni. Antonio Maraini had championed the work, urging the staunch Fascist Adriano Lualdi, head of the Music Department, to include it in the Biennale programme. The choreography was the brainchild of Jia Ruskaja (the stage name, meaning “I’m Russian”, of Evgeniya Fyodorovna Borisenko), who was born in Crimea in 1902 and died in Rome in 1970. Ruskaja, who came to Italy in the early 1920s, was a pioneer of free dance influenced by the principles of Émile Jaques-Dalcroze (1865-1950), the Swiss educator who taught “eurhythmics” (a movement-based method of music appreciation) at his school in Hellerau alongside innovative set designer Adolphe Appia. She caught the attention of the Roman artistic and intellectual milieu as a performer in Pantomime Futuriste at Anton Giulio Bragaglia’s Teatro degli Indipendenti. Having married Aldo Borrelli, editor-in-chief of the Corriere della Sera, she obtained Italian citizenship in 1935. Ruskaja choreographed outdoor ballets at the Istituto Italiano del Dramma Antico in Siracusa, as well as at the Villa Reale in Monza, the Teatro Licinium in Erba, and on the Palatine Hill, then took over as head of modern dance at La Scala’s dance school in Milan, exploring the body-mind-emotion nexus and drawing inspiration from the
great Italian art of the past. She carved out a place in the Fascist regime’s cultural programmes, and in 1940 became director of the Regia Scuola di Danza Femminile, now the Accademia Nazionale di Danza. EV

2.3 Futurism at the Biennale, 1926 - 1942

In the early 1920s Italian participation at the Biennale Arte was shaped by Margherita Sarfatti and the influence of her Novecento Italiano movement. When this Venetian writer’s hold over Mussolini faded, Filippo Tommaso Marinetti asserted the claim of Futurism – especially its heroic Aeropittura incarnation – to become the official art of the regime. In 1923 Futurist works could already be seen in Venice: a painting and set design exhibition was held at the Lido by Enrico Prampolini. At the inauguration of the 14th International Art Exhibition in 1924, in the presence of the king and of Minister Gentile, Marinetti and his colleagues staged a noisy protest about the lack of Futurist work at the exhibition, and Marinetti was jailed for a few hours. The absence of Futurists at the Biennale Arte was however due in part to Marinetti’s unbending unwillingness to let the movement’s painters present work to the jury individually. He alone was to select artists and the movement was to remain united. In 1924 Gerardo Dottori broke this rule, exhibiting a painting at that year’s Biennale. In late July 1925 Marinetti wrote to La Biennale secretary, staking Futurism’s claim to the next edition. He wanted five rooms and, above all, a group exhibition of work he alone would select. To this end he wrote to his old friend Margherita Sarfatti, who managed to secure him two rooms in the Central Pavilion and the opportunity for artists to be directly selected by him rather than the jury. In the end, when the Soviet Union unexpectedly withdrew from the 15th International Art Exhibition in 1926, the Soviet pavilion was handed over to Marinetti: he exhibited sixty works that spanned painting, sculpture, and the applied arts, with Depero and Prampolini as the best represented artists. From 1928 to 1942 the Futurists were a constant fixture at the Biennale. One can see Marinetti’s influence grow from 1928, when he was allotted only the smallest, most out-of-the-way gallery in the Palazzo dell’Esposizione (room 39), to later editions where the movement was granted increasing space and visibility, showing this poet’s noteworthy diplomatic skills. In 1936 he was once again given the Soviet pavilion and, in 1942, Belgium’s. VP

Ivan Ketoff, Aerial Bombardment, 1942

Ivan Ketoff (or Ketov) was born in Rome to Russian parents in 1916. He attended set design courses and soon came across Futurism, thanks to Anton Giulio Bragaglia and Enrico Prampolini. In 1933 he showed his work in the First National Futurist Exhibition, held in Rome at the Palazzo del Sindacato Ingegneri in Piazza Adriana. In 1938 he trained as a pilot and began painting flight-themed work, also taking part in exhibitions with the Aeropittura group. He Italianised his name to Giovanni Chetofi (or Chetoffi) and was in the 1938 and 1940 Biennales with two paintings at each: Volo rasente and Volando sulla foce in 1938, and Cromatismo aerei and Dimenticando la terra in 1940. At the 1942 Biennale Arte, eight of his paintings were selected for the Italian Royal Air Force pavilion (“Caccia” tutto fare, Combattimento aereo, Alessandria, Picchiatelli, Mitragliamento di Iraklion, Attaccano i paracadutisti)
and two for the Futurism pavilion: Aerosintesi dell’Egeo and Bombardamento aereo. This latter shows what the aviator would see during a bombing mission: the countryside is punctuated by the white lines of roads and the yellow and green geometric shapes of fields. The military objective is at the centre of the composition, a group of buildings hit by bombs which blow up to form white clouds in the sky. VP

2.4A The national pavilions at the Giardini, 1940-1942

In the two editions of the Biennale Arte held during the war, the layout of the Giardini di Castello area was revolutionised. In 1940 France withdrew at the last minute and the decision was taken to occupy that pavilion with paintings and sculptures that had been entered in the portraiture competition. Together with France, three weeks before the opening, Great Britain and Denmark withdrew. Austria, Poland and the Soviet Union had never signed up and all these pavilions thus remained closed. In 1942 the political situation demanded drastic management choices to keep pavilions from sitting shuttered as they had the previous year. The only foreign countries invited as “neither enemy nations nor controlled by the latter” were Germany, Bulgaria, Croatia, Denmark, Romania, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and Hungary. Japan and Finland were invited to the exhibition but technical reasons prevented them from sending work to Venice. The 23rd International Biennale Exhibition in 1942 was the first to be organised in the middle of a world war. During the Great War it had been suspended, but this time Mussolini wanted cultural activities to continue. The enemy nation pavilions – except Belgium’s, which was handed over to the Futurists – showcased entries in the competitions on the theme of war “on land and at sea, in the skies, or life in Fascist times”. Given the scarcity of work good enough for the exhibition, the jury decided to present work “showing sufficient dignity” in the Greek pavilion. The British, French and United States pavilions housed the most important works selected by Italy’s Ministry of War, Air Force and Navy. VP

2.4B The national pavilions at the Giardini, 1943-1945

With the armistice and German invasion on 8 September 1943, Cinecittà closed down. As the Republic of Salò was set up, Istituto Luce, ENIC, CINES, Scalera Film and the Ministry of Popular Culture all moved to Venice. Ferdinando Mezzasoma, the new minister, agreed with the Germans that the core of the Italian film industry should be transferred from Rome to Venice, both because it was unlikely to be bombed, and because they thought they could requisition the nearly completed Scalera studios on the island of Giudecca Island. After transferring a portion of the technical material, the actors had to be convinced to move North, as did the skilled labour and the administrative staff. The issue of sound stages remained a significant problem as well. After ruling out the use of the Scalera buildings on the Giudecca because of determined opposition from the production house, which immediately set to work on Giorgio Ferroni’s Senza famiglia, Cinecittà president
Luigi Freddi fell back on the pavilions at the Giardini della Biennale, which lent themselves well to production demands. The Biennale initially resisted pressure from the two ministers but then gave in, given the impossibility of staging the International Art Exhibition the following year. On 11 January 1944 Antonio Maraini thus wrote to Freddi: “Destiny has decreed an even closer bond between the Biennale and cinema. Now even its halls and pavilions will host this art. I’m pleased... Instead of Cinecittà, the word on every screen will be Cinebiennale!”

On 21 February 1944 the first scenes of Piero Ballerini’s _Fatto di cronaca_ were shot, followed by a few other films: in 1944 Flavio Calzavara’s _Peccatori_, Mario Baffico’s _Ogni giorno è Domenica_ and Fernando Cerchio’s _La buona fortuna_, and in 1945 Mario Baffico’s _Trent’anni di servizio_. The liberation of Italy brought the Cinebiennale venture to an end.

2.5 Cinema goes to war, 1940-1942

In 1940 the Palazzo del Cinema on the Lido had been requisitioned by the armed forces, so the 8th Venice International Film Festival was held in the historic centre, at the San Marco and Rossini cinemas. As with the visual arts exhibitions, only Axis allies or neutral countries were allowed to take part in what was branded the “Italo-Germanic Cinema Week”: Bohemia, Germany, Italy, Romania, Sweden, Switzerland and Hungary (in 1941 and in 1942 Argentina, Spain, Denmark, Finland and Norway added their names to the list). “Venice seemed truly unreal,” wrote Antonioni. The tone was more subdued than earlier editions: Hotel Danieli and Ca’ Giustinian were a rendezvous for Culture Minister Alessandro Pavolini, Cinecittà President Luigi Freddi, the couple Luisa Ferida and Osvaldo Valenti, Vittorio De Sica, the Third Reich diva Brigitte Horney, and the Czech star Lída Baarová. Special screenings were offered to the armed forces and Fascist leaders paraded across the stage. Nor did the war prevent Goebbels from taking part in the event and imposing official speeches about the cinema of the New Europe soon to be ushered in.

Veit Harlan’s infamous _Jud Süß_ (1940), Augusto Genina’s pro-Franco propaganda film _L’assedio dell’Alcazar_ (1940) and Goffredo Alessandrini’s _Noi vivi_ (1942) were all screened. Mino Doletti, editor of _Film quotidiano_, wrote that while the “war is fought in the trenches,” in Venice the “war is fought over prizes.” But it was only nominally a “war”, since Count Volpi and the delegates from participating nations always divided the Coppa Mussolini awards equally between one Italian and one German movie (Augusto Genina’s _L’assedio dell’Alcazar_ and Gustav Ucicky’s _Der Postmeister_ in 1940, Alessandro Blasetti’s _La corona di Ferro_ and Hans Steinhoff’s _Ohm Krüger_ in 1941, Augusto Genina’s _Bengasi_ and Veit Harlan’s _Der grosse Koenig_ in 1942). When Fascism fell in the summer of 1943 the festival was suspended, but as early as October, the spectral Republic of Salò was trying to rekindle Cinecittà’s ashes in Venice.
La Biennale went back to work in 1946 under the leadership of its new commissar Giovanni Ponti, first with the reopening of the Venice Film Festival, directed by Elio Žorži, and then with the International Festival of Music. It would be a few more years before the visual arts section reopened, given the need to finalise work on the Giardini pavilions. The International Art Exhibition reopened in 1948 with an edition focusing on reconstruction; this was Europe’s first major international exhibition after World War Two and came seven years before Documenta, the famous German contemporary art exhibition in Kassel. Secretary General Rodolfo Pallucchini grasped the need to bring the Biennale up-to-date with a series of earlier artistic languages and experiments which had been forgotten or censored under Fascism.

1948 was the year of the first solo exhibition by then 67-year-old Pablo Picasso, an artist who had never previously exhibited at the Biennale. For this same edition Peggy Guggenheim came to Venice and brought her collection to the Greek pavilion, showing not only exceptional modern art from Europe but also new American abstract artists. With Picasso’s works, the Guggenheim exhibition, the Fronte Nuovo delle Arti’s contribution at the Padiglione Italia, and many other exhibiting artists, this edition of the Biennale highlighted the dialogue and debate between abstraction and figuration. It was a polarisation that could also be seen as a counterpart to the ideological differences between the two Cold War blocs that pervaded many of the political and aesthetic debates of those years, when the Soviet-influenced language of Socialist Realism faced off against the Western liberalist Esperanto of abstract art.

A similar tension could be sensed at the film festival. This was the era when Hollywood came to Venice and Luchino Visconti presented great Neorealist masterpieces such as La Terra Trema, Senso and Rocco e i suoi fratelli, which because of the director’s Communist Party affiliation won no official recognition. Dance, too – a discipline which had not yet become its own official department of La Biennale, but was included in the music and theatre festivals –
brought its first American experiments to Venice, with George Balanchine’s New York City Ballet. In 1951, Biennale Teatro invited Bertolt Brecht to stage Mutter Courage und ihre Kinder, but it was ultimately cancelled as a result of the Italian government’s refusal to grant entry visas to Brecht and his Berliner Ensemble, who were from East Germany. The music festival, on the other hand, welcomed Soviet musicians such as Sergei Prokofiev and Dmitri Shostakovich whose musical compositions were a world away from Socialist Realism canons and thus were frequently blocked in the Soviet Union, but presented in Venice at several prestigious concerts. CA
In 1948 the 24th International Art Exhibition included major historical exhibitions such as those on the Impressionists, Metaphysical Painting and Degenerate Art; there were retrospectives and solo exhibitions of work by Gino Rossi, Scipione, Arturo Martini, Paul Klee, Marc Chagall, Henry Moore and Pablo Picasso (at the Biennale for the first time) and extraordinary surveys of the Fronte Nuovo delle Arti and the Peggy Guggenheim collection. The two rooms hosting the Fronte Nuovo delle Arti were especially interesting because they brought together young artists from a range of Italian cities, above all Venice, Milan and Rome. Key players in this movement were Renato Birolli, Antonio Corpora, Nino Franchina, Renato Guttuso, Leoncillo Leonardi, Ennio Morlotti, Armando Pizzinato, Giuseppe Santomaso, Giulio Turcato, Emilio Vedova and Alberto Viani. It developed out of the Nuova Secessione Artistica Italiana, founded the previous year in Milan as an outgrowth of the Bottega di Corrente cultural space. The aim of the Fronte members was to make painting a political act, using a straightforward, direct artistic language. Their rejection of the Novecento movement was total, favouring instead a dialogue with European painting: the model for this politically committed art was Picasso’s Guernica. The movement’s founder and theorist was art critic Giuseppe Marchiori. Shortly after the first exhibition two opposing factions formed, one made up of artists influenced by Neorealism and the other with more avant-garde, abstract or Informel leanings. It was the artists of this second faction who defied the Communist Party’s prejudice against abstract art and brought the Fronte to an end.

De Chirico at the Biennale
In 1948 the jury decided to award Giorgio Morandi the painting prize. The Bologna-born artist had been featured, together with de Chirico and Carlo Carrà, in the Tre pittori italiani dal 1910 al 1920 exhibition. In the press, Giorgio de Chirico accused the institution of having sent him no official invitation or requests, and of having chosen, without his input, a series of paintings from private collections that included a “tremendous fake”. De Chirico sued La Biennale and did not take part in the editions of subsequent years, instead bringing solo exhibitions to Venice from 1950 to 1954 as a sort of anti-Biennale in the Giardinetti Reali Kaffeehaus. One of de Chirico’s most memorable works at the 1948 exhibition was Le Muse inquietanti (The Disquieting Muses) from the Feroldi Collection in Milan. Painted in Ferrara in 1916, during World War One, this painting is a manifesto of Metaphysical Art, featuring inanimate objects and dummy-headed statues arranged on a stage-like wooden floor in a town square. In the background is Ferrara’s Castello Estense alongside the twin smokestacks of a factory, silhouetted against the evening sky. Le Muse inquietanti is the perfect example of the immortal artwork which,
as de Chirico himself noted, “must transcend human limits without worrying about either common sense or logic”. VP

2.6C The Guggenheim Collection at the Greek pavilion, 1948

Peggy Guggenheim played a pivotal role in the history of twentieth-century art. She often said it was her duty to protect the art of her day, and devoted most of her life to this mission and to creating her museum. Arriving in Venice for the first time after World War Two, in 1946, she met the painters Emilio Vedova and Giuseppe Santomaso at Ristorante All’Angelo. When Rodolfo Pallucchini learned of her plan to transfer her Art of This Century gallery from New York to Venice, he immediately suggested exhibiting the work at the Greek pavilion, which would be empty that year owing to the civil war underway. As Peggy recalled in her biography, “my pavilion was [...] done over by Scarpa, who was the most modern architect in Venice”. The Biennale opening was very formal and Peggy had nothing suitable to wear, so she asked a friend to loan her a pair of stockings and a belt, and instead of the customary hat she wore a pair of huge daisy-shaped earrings made of Murano glass. Thus decked out, she greeted the President of the Italian Republic, Luigi Einaudi. “My exhibition had enormous publicity [...] but what I enjoyed most was seeing the name of Guggenheim appearing on the maps in the Public Gardens next to the names of Great Britain, France, Holland, Austria, Switzerland, Poland [...] I felt as though I were a new European country.” For Italy the Guggenheim Collection was a unique opportunity to see the best of avant-garde European art, especially abstract and Surrealist work, but also the art of young Americans like Jackson Pollock and Clyfford Still. VP

2.7A/2.7B Russian musicians at the Biennale Musica

In the Soviet Union, state control over the arts was especially rigid not only in the period of Stalin (which ended in 1953 with his death) but also in the decades that followed. The Socialist Realism doctrine, imposed on the country in 1934 by Andrei Zhdanov, the regime’s cultural policy arbiter, was designed to combat Western influences on Soviet artists and had a significant impact on music as well. Tendencies which were seen, often on specious grounds, as connected to decadence, pessimism (social, political, or existential), psychological introspection and, naturally, modernist experimentation were all subject to censorship. Music not held to be in keeping with the canons of Socialist Realism was condemned as formalistic and intellectualistic, in a systematic campaign of control and repression which harshly affected Sergei Prokofiev (1891-1953) and Dmitri Shostakovich (1906-1975), together with younger composers such as Sofia Gubaidulina (1931-), whose music was dubbed “irresponsible”. The history of the Biennale Musica is dotted with performances of work by Soviet composers who were censored at home. CF
Dmitri Shostakovich, *Lady Macbeth of Mtsensk*, 1947

Shostakovich’s opera *Ledi Makbet Mtsenskogo uyezda* (*Lady Macbeth of Mtsensk*) went triumphantly staged in Leningrad in 1934, was shelved and banned in the Soviet Union in 1936 as a result of Zhdanov’s Stalinist repression. Applauded in 1934 as a stellar result of the Communist Party’s cultural policies, two years later it was condemned in Pravda as formalist and immoral and thus “unfit for the Soviet people” with its “success with the bourgeois audience abroad” seen as especially damning. Though reworked and purged by its author to please the censors, the piece was performed again in the Soviet Union in a new version entitled *Katerina Izmailova* only on 8 January 1963 in Moscow, during the Khrushchev period. It was first performed in Italy for the 10th International Festival of Contemporary Music at Teatro La Fenice in 1947, with sets designed by Aurel Milloss and scenery and costumes by Renato Guttuso. The extraordinary acclaim that it received led the work to be presented across Europe and the United States, in both fully staged and concert form. CF

Sergei Prokofiev, *The Fiery Angel*, 1955

Sergei Prokofiev never got to see his own opera *Ognenny angel* (*The Fiery Angel*), based on a novel of the same name by Valery Bryusov and composed between 1919 and 1927. This work hinges on the ambiguities of a passionate religious obsession, where asceticism is mingled with ecstasy and madness; it also explores the tension between faith in reason, on one hand, and the power of the unconscious and superstition, on the other. Though Prokofiev had been targeted by Soviet censors since 1936, and his subject was scandalous in moral and religious terms, what kept the work from being performed during the composer’s lifetime was not censorship so much as the inherent difficulties of the score and staging (initial production talks with theatres in Chicago, Berlin and New York ended up coming to nothing). Its premiere at Teatro La Fenice in 1955 during the 18th International Festival of Contemporary Music, in an Italian version by Mario Nordio titled *L’angelo di fiamma* with sets by Giorgio Strehler, was therefore an international event, coming two years after Prokofiev’s death. CF

The 1950s in dance: New York City Ballet, *The Miraculous Mandarin*, and Aurel Milloss

The postwar period opened the door to American-style choreography and the French/Russian school, while the relationship with Ausdruckstanz, the Central European tradition of modern expressive dance that hybridised ballet with narrative expression, also remained active.

The American National Ballet performed in 1950 and the Grand Ballet du Marquis de Cuevas, one of the successors to Diaghilev’s Ballets Russes, in 1950 and 1957. The New York City Ballet also took the stage at Teatro La Fenice in 1953 and 1956, showcasing the originality of George Balanchine’s neoclassical, concerto-like ballets, Jerome Robbins’ many-sided, polymorphic ingenuity and the “psychological” work of Antony Tudor, who later taught Pina Bausch.

In 1955 Jean-Jacques Etcheverry, who had trained with Lydia Karpova and Nicolas Zverev and danced with the Diaghilev-inspired Nouveaux Ballets de Monte-Carlo, created the unsettling *Il mandarino meraviglioso* (The
Miraculous Mandarin). Choreographed to music by Béla Bartók, it was performed at La Fenice by dancers from Théâtre Royal de la Monnaie in Brussels. A pantomime in one act, its central character is a girl forced by exploitative individuals to seduce men who are then attacked and robbed. A very rich Chinese man manages to withstand their violence, dying and giving up his gold only at the climax of pleasure. In the pro-Nazi Germany of 1926, after a preview in Cologne, the work was banned on the grounds of immorality and debuted instead at Teatro della Scala, in the Fascist Italy of 1942, with Aurel Milloss’s choreography and sets and costumes by Enrico Prampolini. La Biennale di Venezia became a great supporter of the work of Rudolf Laban’s pupil Aurel Milloss (1906-1988), a modern observer of the human body in performances that focused on strength, weight, time, space, form and flow, which are elements of all human action, including dance. Given his penchant for interdisciplinary partnerships with painters and musicians, in 1948 Milloss was invited to Venice for projects entrusted to the Balletto dell’Opera in Rome and, in 1950, became director and choreographer of classical and modern pieces for La Biennale’s own dance company. EGV

2.8 Bertolt Brecht: ideological censorship

In 1951 Bertolt Brecht was invited by La Biennale di Venezia to stage one of his most famous works, Mutter Courage und Ihre Kinder (Mother Courage and Her Children). However, the Italian government refused to issue visas to him and his Berliner Ensemble because they were coming from communist East Germany. At the time the Berliner Ensemble was already one of Europe’s most important companies and the play’s cancellation brought a heated response from Italian politicians and intellectuals like Giulio Einaudi and Luchino Visconti, with the latter threatening to withdraw his own plays (Diego Fabbri’s Il seduttore and Arthur Miller’s Death of a Salesman). Ten years later, in 1961, a second attempt to host Brecht at the Biennale also failed; the great German artist had planned to stage The Resistible Rise of Arturo Ui and, once again, Mother Courage, with the Berliner Ensemble. But after initial openness to the ensemble’s visit, at the last minute the Italian government decided against granting them a visa in an attempt to reassure the country regarding its political approach to the German Democratic Republic. It was a form of censorship which risked compromising the very existence of the Biennale Teatro and was probably one of the most dispiriting examples of political interference in Italian cultural life. FB

2.9 The Visconti case

The Cold War was also fought offstage at the festival and it was Luchino Visconti, often called the “Red Count” for his allegiance to the Communist Party, who paid the highest price. In 1948 his Neorealist
masterpiece La terra trema was booed in the Sala Grande. Part of the festival’s jury argued that Visconti’s film showed “arbitrary distortions of an essentially political nature” and granted him only a minor award, with Laurence Olivier’s Hamlet winning the prize.

1948 also saw the desertion of the USSR – until 1952 – with Hollywood dominating the festival programme in those years. Aesthetic “battle lines”, not without political overtones, were soon drawn between the schools of Fellini and Visconti, and in 1954, the Golden Lion went to Renato Castellani’s inoffensive Romeo and Juliet and a Silver Lion to Federico Fellini’s La strada. Visconti’s Senso was totally ignored. Mayhem broke out at the award ceremony in the Sala Grande: Visconti’s assistant Franco Zeffirelli got in a physical fight with Fellini’s assistant Moraldo Rossi, and the police had to intervene to separate them, while La strada’s producer Dino De Laurentis engaged in a shouting match with Visconti supporters. In 1954 Luigi Chiarini wrote that festival director Ottavio Croze’s film selection had been deemed too left-wing by the pro-governmental press: “The red flag is flying over the Lido cinema hall!” In 1960 audiences took issue with Rocco e i suoi fratelli (shouting “Enough with these films about poverty, crime and whores!”) and Visconti recalled three Christian Democrat ministers commenting after the screening: “It’s disgusting, a disgrace, a film that must not win any prizes!” Rocco e i suoi fratelli won only the Special Jury Prize, while the Golden Lion went to André Cayatte’s Le passage du Rhin. GG

2.10A Exhibitions abroad

After World War Two La Biennale also went back to organising and participating in exhibitions abroad. The first event was held, not coincidentally, in what was then Europe’s richest country and one which had not suffered significant damage during the war: Switzerland. The intention was to promote Italian art abroad, so the group exhibition Quarant’anni d’arte italiana dal futurismo ai nostri giorni (Forty Years of Italian Art, from Futurism to the Present) was held first in Lausanne and then in Lucerne from February to June 1947. Even before the exhibition opened, Italian newspapers began stirring up controversy over the selection of artists. Certain members of the board resigned and the decision was made to change the title to Mostra di artisti italiani contemporanei dal futurismo ad oggi 1909-1946 (Exhibition of Contemporary Italian Artists from Futurism to Today, 1909-1946). In 1951 the Biennale became an exhibition model to emulate and its first “twin” was held in the Brazilian metropolis of São Paulo. Italian participation in the first São Paulo Biennial was officially entrusted to La Biennale di Venezia, which also offered advice and assistance on overall organisation. Given Brazil’s large Italian community, which included São Paulo Biennial founder Ciccillo Matarazzo, the participation of Italian artists was a great success in terms of both revenue and publicity throughout the 1950s. La Biennale’s
initiatives abroad multiplied, with other exhibitions being held in Alexandria, Stockholm, Tokyo and other parts of the world until the mid-1970s. VP

2.10B Robert Rauschenberg’s award, 1964

1964 was the year that Italy and the United States risked a diplomatic incident over the Biennale, but was also a watershed moment in which American art came to the fore and abstract painting turned into the standard bearer of Western liberalism in Europe. The curator of the US pavilion was Alan Solomon, previously director of New York’s Jewish Museum, and in the words of Italian-American gallery owner Leo Castelli, he “put together the best things to be found in American art after the great period of Abstract Expressionism”.

A lack of space meant that the work of the eight exhibiting artists – Jim Dine, Jasper Johns, Morris Louis, Kenneth Noland, Robert Rauschenberg, Frank Stella, John Chamberlain and Claes Oldenburg – was shown at two different sites: the American pavilion in the Giardini and the former US consulate at San Gregorio in Venice. The decision to exhibit work at two sites was taken after a heated exchange of letters between the US cultural attaché Michael Barjansky, the American curator, and La Biennale. It was clear right away that the pavilion space was too small for all the work selected, but President Mario Marcuzzan and Secretary Gian Alberto Dell’Acqua vetoed all suggestions that an exhibition held outside the Giardini could be considered an integral part of the Biennale. Such a concession, it was felt, would have been difficult to justify with other nations and would have set a precedent.

The organisers thus recommended including one work per invited artist at the Giardini, so that all would be represented and eligible for the jury award. With a great deal of difficulty – the correspondence shows all kinds of disagreements, with Barjansky even threatening to withdraw the US from the Biennale – a compromise was found: a sign would be posted at the entrance to the pavilion to ensure that visitors would see both exhibitions.

The exhibition at the former consulate opened to great acclaim at the same time as the Biennale, and rumours were soon circulating that Rauschenburg might win an award even though his work was not actually on display at the Giardini. In an interview published in the 1988 catalogue Ugo Mulas: Vent’anni di Biennale 1954-1972, Dell’Acqua recalled: “When it became a question of awarding the prize to Rauschenberg, two of his paintings had to be brought to the Giardini to justify his presence at the Biennale”. To make the award possible in accordance with the rules, Solomon decided, at the very last minute, to move the work from the consulate and exhibit it outside the pavilion at the Giardini, under a plastic roof to protect it from the weather. MP

*Intolleranza 1960* (Intolerance 1960) marked a key moment in postwar music history. It was one of the first operas composed by a member of the neo-avant-garde group that had emerged from the Darmstadt summer school (Ferienkurse) and above all, the first response to the demands for a new type of musical drama that Luigi Nono continued to explore throughout the 1950s. Fuelled by a strong political and ideological impulse (Nono had joined the Italian Communist Party in 1952), these demands focused on developing a “theatre of ideas” that would be totally committed at the social, structural and linguistic level, contemporary in its genuinely topical nature, and compelling in its defense of human dignity. Based on an idea of Angelo Maria Ripellino’s, this “two-part action for the stage” was commissioned by the artistic director of the Biennale’s Music Department, Mario Labroca, in 1960. The first performance on 13 April 1961 at Teatro La Fenice, directed by Vacláv Kašlík with Emilio Vedova’s scenery and costumes, Josef Svoboda’s technical direction and Bruno Maderna’s musical direction, was interrupted by neofascists and had repercussions even in the political arena. Its critical reception was extremely mixed, in a debate that necessarily went beyond the artistic and theatrical aspects.

*Intolleranza 1960* is grounded in a dialectic tension that permeates every aspect, both thematic and structural. The task of coming to terms with history and the present is reflected in the dialectical relationship between individual and community, as well as between author and audience. Nono conceived the work as a dynamic, complex construction where different musical and theatrical elements interact in varying configurations: the action, singing, orchestra, visual images, and the spaces of the stage and audience interweave in a drama that even makes use of projections and electronic elements on tape. The music reveals the multiplicity of compositional techniques, both vocal and instrumental, used by Nono throughout the 1950s, which here sometimes accompany or contrast with one another in a powerfully experimental tension: aspects such as seriality, a sculptural treatment of sound and text, a residual but intense lyricism, a differentiated use of the orchestra, and the incorporation of electronics. CF
1968 was the year of protests: just a few days before the Biennale Arte opened, students occupied their universities and art academies and took to the streets to demonstrate in support of the Prague Spring. Seen as a symbol of bourgeois culture, with an anachronistic statute, the Biennale became the demonstrators’ primary target. The authorities worried about the potential for disruptions after the Triennale in Milan was occupied in May. Calls to postpone the opening of the 34th International Art Exhibition came in from various sides, but Venetian businesses insisted that the event should start as planned to avoid jeopardising the tourist season. Meanwhile, Giuseppe Mazzariol, Arnaldo Pomodoro and Giuseppe Santomaso resigned from the figurative arts subcommittee and a number of artists sent telegrams to La Biennale to withdraw their work. Youth protests in Piazza San Marco, led by composer Luigi Nono and painter Emilio Vedova, were put down by the police and the headlines read: “The Biennale of Truncheons”. A great many critics and foreign journalists were caught up in the ensuing scuffles. On 18 June there was an unprecedented police presence at the entrance, creating a general climate of tension. Inside, on the other hand, the Giardini looked deserted, with most national pavilions closed. Many of the Italian artists, including Lorenzo Guerrini and Gastone Novelli, turned their paintings to the wall in support of the demonstrators, and some even went so far as to write “Fascist Biennale” on the back of their work. The prize winners for 1968 included optical painter Bridget Riley and cybernetic sculptor Nicolas Schöffer. Among the Italians, Gianni Colombo presented his Spazio elastico and Pino Pascali, who died tragically a month before the end of the Biennale, brought a playful room of objects covered in plastic matting, steel wool and chicken feathers.

The 1968 protests ushered in significant changes to the Biennale Arte: the grand prizes were abolished (until 1986), and the sales office was turned into a “sales service” before being definitively closed in 1973.

The protests at the Venice Film Festival
On 25 August 1968, on the opening night of the 29th Venice International Film Festival, the lights at the Palazzo del Cinema were switched off and its blinds
were pulled down. A line of police officers held back
the group of protestors outside; they were demanding
the resignation of Luigi Chiarini, who had been
the director since 1963, and that the festival be self-
managed by participants. Some critics and directors
from the Associazione Italiana Autori Cinematografici
(ANAC – Italian Association of Filmmakers) – Liliana
Cavani, Marco Ferreri, Ugo Gregoretti, Francesco
Maselli, Pier Paolo Pasolini, Gillo Pontecorvo and
Cesare Zavattini – backed the students. “Down with
the culture of the powerful!” became their slogan.
Chiarini and “his” festival had drawn up a programme
that included Carmelo Bene’s Nostra Signora dei
Turchi, Bernardo Bertolucci’s Partner, Gian Vittorio
Baldi’s Fuoco!, Alexander Kluge’s Die Artisten in der
Zirkuskuppel: ratlos (which won the Golden Lion), John
Cassavetes’ Faces, Miklós Jancsó’s Csend és kiáltás and
Pier Paolo Pasolini’s Teorema. The opening night was
cancelled and the festival began two days later. At the
end of it, Luigi Chiarini was forced to resign.
In 1972-73, ANAC organised the Giornate del Cinema
Italiano, an alternative festival held at Cinema Olimpia,
in Campo Santa Margherita and at the Marghera
factories. It was a sort of general assembly in which
Jean-Luc Godard, Marco Ferreri, Bernardo Bertolucci,
Gian Maria Volonté and Marco Belloccio all took part,
with Belloccio presenting a preview of Nel nome del
padre. The Venice Film Festival closed down in 1972
until a new statute could be adopted, which happened
only in 1974. The epilogue to the 1968 movement came
along in 1974 in the form of friction over Michelangelo
Antonioni’s Chung Kuo, Cina: Carlo Ripa di Meana, the
new president of La Biennale, and Giacomo Gambetti,
director of the Cinema Department, wanted to screen
it at Teatro La Fenice, but this brought complaints from
the Chinese government and from Mao supporters in
Italy who considered the film a provocation. Umberto
Eco recalled that “at the last moment the Venice prefect
came to the aid of the Beijing regime and suddenly
discovered that La Fenice was unfit for use as a
cinema”. The screening took place at Cinema Olimpia,
but was besieged by protestors. GG
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Student demonstrations in the spring of ’68

The months before the opening of the 24th International Art Exhibition brought a string of demonstrations in cities across Italy and France. By the time of the opening, on 18 June 1968, the art academy in Venice had been occupied off and on by students for over two months. The art students were protesting against the bourgeois system, and against a Biennale they saw as elitist and cut off from the city. MP

Concerns about the safety of the art and growing tensions

The occupation of the Milan Triennale came two weeks before the opening of Biennale Arte and the news of damage to its artworks frightened Biennale lenders. The organisers received telegrams asking them to either provide reassurance about the precautions adopted to protect the work, or return it immediately. In some cases lenders decided not to send the artworks at all. A week before opening, Secretary General Gian Alberto Dell’Acqua announced that only forty per cent of the work was ready for exhibition. Many other messages heightened tensions even further, including an anonymous letter warning, in capital letters, “TRY TO GET READY. THEY’RE CRAZY”. There were also statements from the student movement and from a committee set up to organise a boycott, exhorting artists to desert the Biennale in the name of “a new dialogue between art forms” and to combat “the repressive structure of capital.” MP

Contested vernissage at the Giardini

In this climate of alarmism and tension, fearing that demonstrators would attack the pavilions, La Biennale management organised a police presence to protect them. The first clash took place on the morning of the vernissage, when demonstrators – including future Venice mayor Massimo Cacciari, composer Luigi Nono and artist Emilio Vedova – moved with their placards toward the Giardini gates, where “hundreds” (the exact number has never been established) of police officers in riot gear, Carabinieri and special mobile units were standing guard over the artworks and the pavilions, which were still being prepared. MP

The artists’ protests

The massive deployment of police at the Giardini triggered a reaction from the artists, who until then had not taken up the student movement’s invitation to desert the Biennale. Some blocked access to the exhibition rooms with easels and placards, others covered their work with plastic sheeting. In a gesture which later became emblematic of the whole protest, Gastone Novelli turned his paintings to the wall and wrote “The Biennale is Fascist” on the back. The Italian artists were soon emulated by foreign artists in other pavilions. On the glass front of the Swedish pavilion, someone wrote “The Biennale is Dead”. MP

The AAMOD protest documentary video

Withdrawal of artworks from the Biennale

In the days following the vernissage and prior to the public opening planned for 22 June, La Biennale offices received many telegrams and letters of warning from participating Italian artists, notifying it of their intention to withdraw their work in light of the atmosphere of intimidation and repression. The president, Mayor Giovanni Favaretto Fisca, told Secretary General Dell’Acqua to take an inflexible stance, and in the correspondence one finds veiled threats of legal action if work was withdrawn. MP
3.7 Press clippings of the vernissage

3.8 Ugo Mulas’s photographs

Ugo Mulas, the legendary Italian photographer known for his depictions of the Italian and international art scene, immortalised Venice and its artists for over twenty years. In 1968 he documented the clashes between protestors and police in Piazza San Marco, and the artists’ demonstrations in the Giardini. His photos show covered-up artworks and statements by Valerio Adami, Rodolfo Aricò, Leoncillo, Mario Nigro, Gastone Novelli and Pino Pascali. MP

3.9 The protests as recorded by the Istituto Luce archives

3.10 The protest at the International Film Festival in 1968, seen through the Rai Teche and AAMOD archives

3.11 Photos of protests at the Lido during the Venice International Film Festival

On 20 August 1968 Soviet tanks entered Prague. That same day, ANAC (the National Association of Filmmakers) announced that it would be boycotting the “Exhibition of the Powerful” in protest against La Biennale’s Fascist-era statute, which dated back to 1937. Bernardo Bertolucci, Liliana Cavani and Pier Paolo Pasolini struggled to decide whether to show their movies anyway or withdraw them in solidarity with the protestors. In the meantime, some members of the jury – Henri Langlois, Jonas Mekas and Edgar Reitz – resigned. On 24 August the Palazzo del Cinema was cordoned off by the police and the gala evening was cancelled, with the exhibition opening only on 27 August. Marco Ferreri, Ugo Gregoretti, Citto Maselli, Gillo Pontecorvo, Pier Paolo Pasolini, Franco Solinas and Cesare Zavattini made speeches and a few scuffles broke out, with a makeshift bomb exploding but causing more media noise than actual damage. A counter-demonstration of Lido shopkeepers and hoteliers who wanted the festival to take place was announced. Luigi Chiarini, the festival director, allowed a protesters’ assembly to be held in the Sala Grande, but at two o’clock in the morning their time was up and the police moved in to clear the hall. GG

3.12 The Giornate del Cinema Italiano, 1972

With the Venice Film Festival now in the hands of Gian Luigi Rondi and doubts still surrounding the proposed new statute (approved on 26 July 1973), the filmmakers’ association, ANAC, decided to hold an alternative festival in Venice with Marco Bellocchio, Marco Ferreri, Nanni Loy, Cinì Maselli, Giuliano Montaldo, Ugo Pirro, Ettore Scola and Cesare Zavattini taking part. The resulting Giornate del Cinema Italiano opened on 1 September 1972, with screenings that included Bellocchio’s Nel nome del padre, Jean-Luc Godard arrived from France and took part in packed public debates, with Marcello Mastroianni, Gian Maria Volonté, Elio Petri and Francesco Rosi also present. Participants asserted the right to make films as an act of social participation that should not be subject to gatekeepers or prizes. In 1973 the Venice International Film Festival was not held and the Giornate del Cinema Italiano occupied the space that La Biennale still left vacant, despite new reforms. GG

3.13 Press clippings about the Biennale Cinema protests
Protests at the presentation of *Chung Kuo, Cina* by Michelangelo Antonioni

The screening of Michelangelo Antonioni's *Chung Kuo, Cina* at the Biennale Cinema 1974 caused a commotion. As Umberto Eco wrote: “Ripa di Meana was inside the circle of wagons. And galloping around outside it were the Chinese government, the Italian foreign minister, the Italian ambassador in Beijing, the Italian-Chinese friendship association, the police, the fire brigade and certain pro-China lone wolves. Everyone knows how it went. China protested against the imminent screening of the film at La Fenice, the Italian government did everything possible to block it, the Biennale resisted in the name of freedom of information and artistic expression. At the last minute the Venice prefect came to the aid of the Beijing regime and suddenly discovered that La Fenice was unfit for use as a cinema (after films had been screened there non-stop for a week). Meana got on the phone to his assistants and, in the space of half an hour, had Cinema Olimpia freed up for the screening”. Outside the theatre, police held back demonstrators who wanted to prevent the film from being shown, claiming that it denigrated Maoist China. GG

American dance in Venice in 1968: Alvin Ailey, Alwin Nikolais and Merce Cunningham

At the dawn of the youth protests which were to rock the whole world in the late 1960s, Venice was showcasing American dance. In 1967 Alvin Ailey (1931-1989) caused quite a stir with *Blues Suite, Prodigal Prince* and *Revelations*, presented at La Biennale's International Festival of Contemporary Music. Ailey drew on African American music and traditions to create an extremely personal, sophisticated form of modern dance, which refused to reduce its black dancers to the stereotypes frequently employed to entertain a white audience. Avoiding the snare of picturesque or stylised “ethnic” dance, Ailey's choreographies stood out for their scenic composition and original content, in a new, proudly independent vision that was free of the European American straitjacket. Ailey's shows were on their third European tour by the time they got to Venice in 1967, but their critical reception in Italy was nonetheless mixed, with admiration for their linguistic and stylistic innovations but perplexity over the inclusion of modern dance at the International Festival of Contemporary Music.

In 1968, Alwin Nikolais (1910-1993), an American of Russian-German descent, ushered in an essentially abstract, formal, non-narrative kind of dance in his emblematic *Imago*, a world away not only from the illustrations of music traditional in the West, but also from the expressive mould of modern European dance. As a standard bearer of cutting-edge experimentation, who personally oversaw the movements, music, lights and costumes of his works, Nikolais was the definition of a total artist. Californian dancer, choreographer and teacher Carolyn Carlson, who later headed Teatro Danza La Fenice (1980-1985) and managed the Biennale Danza Department from 1999 to 2002, was part of the cast. EGV

Merce Cunningham (1919-2009), a choreographer from a progressive European American background, had been a dancer for Martha Graham (1894-1991) and was the partner of musician John Cage (1912-1992). He made dance independent as a mobile, formal, plotless set of signs, based on elements of chance, coexisting with yet unfettered from the music and the objects on stage. In Piazza San Marco in 1972 he staged one of his most remarkable *Events*: after his dancers used brooms to clear out a space at the centre of the crowd, they performed amidst sound-emitting chairs in a dynamic of pure movement, on the bare paving stones of a space imbued with history. EGV
Simone Forti

Born in Florence in 1935, Simone Forti took refuge in the United States with her family after Italy issued anti-Semitic laws in 1938. A choreographer, dancer and writer, she began experimenting with the language of movement and the possibilities of improvisation in the early 1950s. Forti’s oeuvre is rooted in the work of Anna Halprin, who was responsible for the spread of postmodern dance in America, and of New York’s Judson Dance Theatre, a varied group of experimental dancers and performers. Her choreographies were based on minimalist movement with improvisation and chance becoming an integral part of the end result.

It was in the very city of her birth – thanks to the art-tapes initiative, a series of videos produced by Maria Gloria Bicocchi – that the No Title performance was recorded in 1973. Known as art/tapes/22, this production included works by Marina Abramović, Vito Acconci, Allan Kaprow, Ketty La Rocca, Urs Lüthi, Dennis Oppenheim and Bill Viola, amongst others, which were subsequently acquired by La Biennale.

MP
In the early 1970s La Biennale’s biggest problem was still its statute. Debates at the governmental level on the issue began in early 1973, and on 26 July the Italian Parliament finally approved new regulations to modernise the former Fascist statute, in force since 1938. It was not until 20 March 1974, however, that the board’s eighteen members were appointed from across the political spectrum, carving up La Biennale between the parties. Carlo Ripa di Meana (Socialist Party) was elected president while Floris Ammannati (Christian Democracy), the former superintendent of Teatro La Fenice, was appointed secretary general. Vittorio Gregotti (Communist Party) took over the Visual Arts and Architecture departments, Giacomo Gambetti (Christian Democracy) was given Cinema and Television, and Luca Ronconi (Communist Party) was to head Theatre and Music. The new statute, in addition to adding Architecture and Television as new departments, highlighted the need for “ongoing activities” and “local activities”. La Biennale thus emerged from the Giardini and theatres and moved out into uncharted terrain. Performances were presented at the Porto Marghera petrochemical plant, with an Othello remembered for its quality but also the uniqueness of the experience. Fabio Mauri directed the Ca’ Foscari company in the provocatively titled What is Fascism?, which moved from a marquee in Campo San Polo to the rooms of a technical institute in Mestre and the Jesolo public library. The Living Theatre occupied town squares and unusual spaces, as did Jerzy Grotowski, with his workshop and performances on the island of San Giacomo in Paludo. In the meantime the old Giardini pavilions served as rehearsal spaces for the Accademia Internazionale di Danza. The Biennale Arte preserved its multiple exhibition format, with a range of events entrusted to various curators; disciplines were often mingled, as in the Molino Stucky project, which brought together art, architecture and city planning. 1974 was a year of great political and social tensions in Italy, with the Piazza della Loggia bombing in Brescia in May and the Italicus Express bombing in August.
Democratic, Anti-Fascist Culture) was the overall title of the Venetian festivals that year, which tried to speak out powerfully against the reign of terror, dedicating the entire edition to Chile. The Biennale’s 1975 calendar opened on 1 May and closed on 20 December after 220 days of exhibitions and activities, a vast number compared to the previous year. La Biennale flowed out of the Giardini and across the city, with the municipal government’s assistance, into former shipyards on Giudecca, the church of San Lorenzo, and the Magazzini del Sale. Even other towns in the province were involved: Chioggia, Mira and Jesolo, as well as Mestre and Marghera. At the 1976 Biennale Arte, directed by Gregotti, the Central Pavilion focused on Spain in the year after Franco’s death; 1977 explored the theme of “dissent”. Then in 1978, the Biennale Arte adopted a deliberately non-political title: *Dalla natura all’arte dall’arte alla natura* (From Nature to Art and from Art to Nature). VP
4.1 A new president and the Ca’ Corner della Regina archive

1975 opened with a decidedly new outlook for La Biennale di Venezia: the statute had been approved, and the expanded board had appointed the department directors. In the second year of this new Biennale, the new president, Ripa di Meana, rebranded it “an international workshop”. The organisation’s board of directors was considering buying Ca’ Corner della Regina, a palazzo on the Grand Canal belonging to the Cassa di Risparmio di Venezia bank, as a site for the Biennale’s archives, which since 1973 had been managed by Wladimiro Dorigo. Dorigo was extremely adamant about the new role the Biennale had taken on in 1973, especially the “ongoing activities” that could revolve around the new archive site, which he himself rechristened ASAC: Archivio Storico delle Arti Contemporanee (Historical Archives of Contemporary Arts). Dorigo’s plan was to make Ca’ Corner della Regina a cultural centre, a library specialised in the contemporary arts with multimedia facilities, screening rooms, exhibitions and archives. It was decided from the outset that the archive should be computerised, and research into the history of La Biennale was undertaken for studies that would appear in its new journal, Annuari. ASAC opened in June 1976 and Carlo Ripa di Meana commented in an interview: “The creation of the archive, for example, would not have been possible without Dorigo’s expertise, as he managed to come up with a formula which convinced everyone to spend a great deal of money on this major initiative. The archive will also be used for exhibitions, it will be La Biennale’s museum. Film and graphic design exhibitions and debates are to be held there. It will be its own little Biennale, making use of material that already exists. It is a very ambitious attempt, and a very expensive one, but if it succeeds, it will be a huge thing, really huge.”

4.2A The Bachelor Machines, curated by Harald Szeemann, 1975

Le macchine celibi (The Bachelor Machines) was the title of a travelling exhibition organised by Harald Szeemann that ran from 5 July 1975 to 17 August at the Kunsthalle in Bern and then from 6 September to 4 November at the Magazzini del Sale, during the Biennale Arte of that year. The title was inspired by a work by Marcel Duchamp now known as Le Grand Verre (The Large Glass) but originally called La mariée mise à nu par ses célibataires, même (The Bride Stripped Bare by Her Bachelors, Even), which dates to 1915-1923 (now in the Philadelphia Museum of Art). As the curator wrote, it is a work that “can be read on multiple levels: as a closed circuit and as the influence of an upper zone – that of the bride and the inscription – upon a lower zone – that of the bachelors”. The exhibition’s leitmotifs were the myth of the machine’s self-determination and the technological interpretation of love and sex. The exhibition featured a series of dynamic sculptures characterised by illogical, non-
sequential movements, typical of the twentieth-century avant-gardes. These machines are “bachelors” because they produce nothing useful and merely waste energy, a veiled criticism of industrial mechanisms. Harald Szeemann (1933–2005) was a Swiss art historian who studied in Bern and Paris. In the 1960s he became curator of the Bern Kunsthalle, where he organised memorable exhibitions such as When Attitudes Become Form (1969). This event highlighted, for the first time, the importance of the artistic choices made by a curator: a figure who, through Szeemann’s work, became a bearer of new messages. In 1972 Szeemann, at that point an independent curator, directed Documenta 5 in Kassel. VP

4.2B Ambiente/arte (Environment/Art), curated by Germano Celant, 1976

Curated by Germano Celant, this exhibition was housed in the right wing of the Central Pavilion in 1976; the installation was designed by architect Gino Valle, and the theme was art’s interaction with three-dimensional space. It started off by looking at the early avant-garde movements (Futurism, Constructivism, Dadaism), continuing through abstract experimentation, Lucio Fontana’s spatial environments, and the performance work of Yves Klein, also featured in the exhibition poster. The final part was left to contemporary artists such as Carla Accardi, Gianni Colombo, Christo, Michelangelo Pistoletto and Andy Warhol. Celant’s first step in organising the exhibition was a radical one – stripping down the history-filled Central Pavilion to its original state, its bricks and mortar, to create neutral spaces which were then filled up with a range of artworks and installations. Celant’s show was a “novel and spectacular exhibition designed to immerse the Biennale’s visitors in an all-encompassing, participatory experience.” In 1975 when Gregotti called Germano Celant to offer him this exhibition project, the latter was spending much of his time in Los Angeles, where he frequented the Light & Spaces artists. In previous years, in Italy, he had been the theorist of the Arte Povera movement which brought Italian artists such as Alighiero Boetti, Luciano Fabro, Jannis Kounellis, Mario Merz and Giulio Paolini into the international limelight. VP

4.3A Concepts for the Mulino Stucky, curated by Vittorio Gregotti, 1975

Concepts for the Mulino Stucky was the second Visual Arts and Architecture department initiative held at the Magazzini del Sale on the Zattere in 1975, after Harald Szeemann’s The Bachelor Machines. Gregotti believed that the Biennale should not only present surveys of recent artistic tendencies, but explore new areas closely tied to the social context, bringing together disciplines such as art, architecture, photography, design and city planning. Concepts for the Mulino Stucky was meant to shine a spotlight on the ruinous state of the imposing late nineteenth-century mill which looms over the island of Giudecca. The curator invited a group of artists and architects to examine this case study and take part in
a competition for redevelopment proposals. The work presented in the exhibition mainly focused on conceptual and performative languages and Neo-Dada. The figures exhibiting at the Magazzini del Sale included Christian Boltanski, Gianni Colombo, Mark di Suvero, Luciano Fabro, Mario Merz, Annette Messager, Nam June Paik, Giulio Paolini, SITE (James Wines, Alison Sky, Emilio Sousa, Michelle Stone), Daniel Spoerri and Jean Tinguely. Happenings were also given space at the exhibition, with Janis Kounellis, for example, taking part from a boat moored behind the island. Mario Ceroli and Gianfranco Fini, on the other hand, built a large wooden crate in Piazza San Marco bearing the names of all the artists invited to the exhibition. This huge structure was transported by boat to the Giudecca shipyards and set on fire, and the charred remains were then placed in the Magazzini del Sale.

4.3B Rationalism and Italian Architecture in the Fascist Period, 1919-42, 1976

In 1976 architecture became La Biennale’s new sphere of experimentation. This department, called “Visual Arts and Architecture”, was directed by Vittorio Gregotti, who called on Silvia Danesi and Luca Patetta to curate Il Razionalismo e l’Architettura in Italia tra le due guerre 1919-1942 (Rationalism and Italian Architecture in the Fascist Period, 1919-42). Presented in the deconsecrated church of San Lorenzo, the exhibition focused on architectural design and its relationship with political power. Around 400 pieces of preparatory material were shown – sketches, perspective drawings, technical drawings, models – documenting the design phase. It was divided up into two parts. The first dealt with the decade from 1920 to 1930 and centred on Milan, Turin and Rome. The second highlighted the Fascist regime’s interference in architectural culture and the two approaches which resulted from this: one that accommodated government policy, the other that opposed Fascism. The material was organised around the theme of housing, a fundamental one for European Rationalism, major national competitions, historic centres, public building projects and work promoted by the regime. It documented demolitions in Italy’s historic town centres which led to the destruction of whole neighbourhoods, with real estate speculation and the conversion of residential areas into business districts. These two sections were linked by an area documenting the work of the Movimento Italiano per l’Architettura Razionale (MIAR). This movement held exhibitions of Rationalist architecture in Rome in 1928 and 1931, with a decisive role being played by the “Gruppo 7”: Luigi Figini, Guido Frette, Sebastiano Larco, Adalberto Libera, Gino Pollini, Carlo Enrico Rava and Giuseppe Terragni. MCC

4.4A Accademia Internazionale di Danza and Incontri Internazionali della Danza, 1975

In 1975 ballet and dance triumphed at La Biennale di Venezia thanks to the Accademia Internazionale lessons held in the Giardini pavilions, which provided
pupils with multifaceted classical and modern training from teachers of many techniques from various countries. The Accademia was directed by Maurice Béjart (1927-2007), a French choreographer famous for his *Sacre du Printemps* and *Bolero*, and Native American star Rosella Hightower (1920-2008). They were also assisted by Mario Porcile (1921-2013), who in those years was the driving force behind a key event for the dance world, the Festival Internazionale del Balletto in Nervi. The Accademia Internazionale trained 686 young dancers from nineteen countries. A number of important companies led by “creators” such as Maurice Béjart, Martha Graham and Antonio Gades (1936-2004) took part in the parallel Incontri Internazionali. Choreographies by Glen Tetley (1926-2007), Louis Falco (1942-1993) and Robert North (1945-) were presented, and the Balletto del Teatro alla Scala also took part with Carla Fracci (1936-), who would be a lodestar of Italian dance for decades to come. The Hamburg Ballet, Ballet de Marseille, Tokyo Ballet, Budapest State Ballet, Nederlands Dans Theatre – with resident choreographers such as Hans Van Manen (1932-) and Jiří Kylián (1947-) – and Ballets Jazz de Montréal were there, along with many stylised folk traditions from around the world. The programme included two exhibitions, *Dipinti teatrali da Diaghilev a De Basil* at the Central Pavilion and *La danza nel mondo*, curated by Mario Porcile, with 180 photos by Serge Lido in Teatro La Fenice’s Sale Apollinee, in addition to a film series at the Lido about dance stars from Paris, to Harlem, to Cuba.

### 4.4B Bob Wilson, *Einstein on the Beach*, 1976

In 1976, fresh from his premiere at the Festival d’Avignon, Bob Wilson (1941-) elevated dance into a “total work of art” in *Einstein on the Beach*, with music was composed by Philip Glass using synthesisers, woodwinds and voices. The original choreographer, Andy De Groat (1947-2019), was replaced by the postmodern Lucinda Childs (1940-), who also wrote and performed some of the texts along with postmodern performers Richard Morrison, Dana Reitz (a pupil of Merce Cunningham) and Sheryl L. Sutton. Breaking all operatic rules and conventions, in four acts made up of brief scenes or “knee plays” without a narrative, *Einstein on the Beach* was groundbreaking even in terms of its relationship with the audience, which was free to come and go throughout the nearly five consecutive hours of this performance “outside of time”.

### 4.5 Luca Ronconi: the crisis and the avant-garde

Luca Ronconi served as the artistic director of the Theatre Department at La Biennale from 1975 to 1977, the year he resigned in protest from the festival board. His 1975 Biennale Teatro was perhaps a unique opportunity for rethinking the theatre, insofar as the concerns that became central after 1968 needed re-evaluation and
 modification. It was a perhaps unprecedented time of crisis for performance, in which avant-garde theatre companies themselves were questioning the methods and ends of their work: a sort of collective self-criticism meant to be the foundation for building a new relationship with audiences. Not coincidentally, Ronconi called his Biennale an “international theatre workshop”, with the word “workshop” suggesting an epoch-making attempt to shift attention from the outcome to the creative act, from the performance to the artistic process underlying and preceding it. He brought in the greatest names in avant-garde theatre at the time, figures still key to any understanding of the art: Jerzy Grotowski, Eugenio Barba, Meredith Monk, Giuliano Scabia, La MaMa, the Living Theatre and Ariane Mnouchkine, to cite just a few creators and supporters of a kind of theatre that was questioning itself, often amidst general incomprehension. FB

4.5A Jerzy Grotowski, Apocalypsis cum figuris and seminar, 1975

A figure who is among the masters of his art in any era, Jerzy Grotowski came to Venice with his latest production, Apocalypsis cum figuris, then still in repertory, and also led a seminar for about twenty participants. The work was staged on a small island in the northern part of the lagoon, San Giacomo in Paludo. An irreverent account of profound inner conflict, Apocalypsis cum figuris was nevertheless part of a creative process in which the artist had long given up every form of showmanship. This crisis proved to be one of the most significant efforts to bring theatre back to its essence: an unending examination of what we call a “vocation”, it was mainly an attempt to think about the actor’s craft as a genuine mission, within an approach to theatre where all artifices and frills were systematically rejected: a realm of pure exploration, entirely removed from any mechanism or logic of production. FB

4.5B Eugenio Barba, Immagini da una realtà senza teatro, 1975

Eugenio Barba, the founder of Odin Teatret, came to La Biennale for an encounter with his audience titled Immagini da una realtà senza teatro (Images from a World without Theatre). The time turned out to be ripe for describing the working methods of a collective which was coming up with novel methods of performance and interaction. Via experiences of migration and travel, Odin developed practices of exchange such as “bartering”, in which different cultures offered their respective skills to each other in an approach that explicitly rejected the power of money. This bold theatrical and social concept set out from the start to challenge the individual conscience, never providing answers and, above all, continually questioning itself. FB
4.5C La MaMa Experimental Theatre Club, *Fragments of a Trilogy*, 1975

Directed by Romanian-born Andrei Şerban, *Fragments of a Trilogy* (*Medea, The Trojan Women, Electra*) is still one of this New York troupe’s most famous productions. Judged by The New York Times to be “nothing less than a reinvention of theater”, the work stands out for its significant linguistic experimentation, featuring the use of Ancient Greek and Aztec dialects. Accompanied by the music of Elizabeth Swados, a famous composer and director, *Fragments of a Trilogy* was a clearly innovative piece, a reworking of ritual in which words become archaic sound, in an experience encompassing all of the audience’s senses. FB

4.5D Living Theatre, *The Legacy of Cain*, 1975

On its second visit to Biennale Teatro, in 1975, the Living Theatre presented a play cycle titled *The Legacy of Cain*, inspired by Leopold von Sacher-Masoch. What this American group primarily questioned in this work was the concept of revolution, coming down in favour of action outside the confines of the theatre arts and increasingly carried out in outdoor spaces. This can be seen in what was called a “secular Via Crucis”, *Six Public Acts*, the first part of the evil Cain’s legacy: a sort of procession through the symbolic places of political and economic power in Venice, past the stock exchange building, here rechristened the “House of Death”, to Piazza San Marco, the “House of the State”. The Living Theatre’s last appearance in Venice may now seem a utopia of collective activism doomed to defeated by consolidated resistance, as the company co-founder Julian Beck himself admitted in 1983: “The theatre [is] again in the hands of the bourgeoisie.” FB

4.5E Ariane Mnouchkine, *L’âge d’or*, 1975

Théâtre du Soleil founder Ariane Mnouchkine had already been invited to Biennale Teatro in 1968 with her staging of Arnold Wesker’s *The Kitchen*. In *L’âge d’or*, the artist and her ensemble made a powerful political statement by presenting their own unique Harlequin, an Algerian one. The tale of the abuse suffered by the main character is told using commedia dell’arte masks and conventions. Performed three times out-of-doors in Campo San Trovaso, the play questioned theatre’s role in history, as part of an investigation that tried to foster change by highlighting, sometimes with wry humour, the injustices and contradictions of socio-economic progress. This is a mission, as Ariane Mnouchkine still likes to call it, that has always guided her work, a theatrical struggle against all forms of barbarity, disparity and intolerance. FB
4.5F  Amelio ‘Memè’ Perlini, Tradimenti n.2, 1975

Hosted by the Biennale Teatro in 1976, Perlini was one of the most significant exponents of what has been called “image theatre”, a movement which favoured the creative process of stage direction over the script or word-based drama. A visual artist who also worked in film, Perlini came to critical and public attention very young with his dazzling reinterpretations of classics like Othello and Spring Awakening. He became a prominent figure on the Roman avant-garde scene of “cellar theatres”, and his Tradimenti n. 2, an installation/play presented at the former Giudecca shipyards, was a sort of neo-Surrealist performance that continued on this path of divergence from traditional theatre. FB

4.5G  Meredith Monk, Education of the Girlchild, 1975

With Education of the Girlchild, performed four times at the former shipyards on Giudecca, Meredith Monk made her first appearance in Italy and was probably the 1975 International Theatre Festival’s most explosive discovery. An artist and performer who has always resisted labelling, Monk managed in this work to blend the spiritual with the everyday, lament with heartfelt prayer, in a compelling crescendo that featured an entirely innovative use of vocal technique. Here it was the traditional notion of text that was pushed to the breaking point, with words frequently crumbling into phonetic sequences evocative of ancestral archetypes. Even today, Meredith Monk’s exploration of sound remains one of the most important investigations in theatre and in musical composition. FB
In September 1973 a military coup led by General Augusto Pinochet overthrew the democratically elected Chilean government of Salvador Allende, who died in the attack on Palacio de La Moneda. The news shocked the entire world. La Biennale, which had just appointed its new board of directors with Carlo Ripa di Meana as president, immediately decided to dedicate that year to “Freedom for Chile”. The underlying theme was the expulsion of culture by dictatorships, in this specific case from Pinochet’s Chile, where many literary and artistic as well as political figures were forced into exile. It was a highly politicised subject meant to bring La Biennale back into the public and media spotlight. The 1974 exhibitions were thus not just focused on visual art but drew on other fields, bringing together film, music, theatre, photography and painting. All kinds of venues were employed, across Venice and in neighbouring towns. On 5 October 1974 events opened at Palazzo Ducale with a packed conference titled Testimonianza contro il fascismo (Bearing Witness against Fascism), telling the stories of artists, intellectuals and politicians under dictatorships in Italy and elsewhere. Hortensia Allende, the Chilean president’s widow, was among those in attendance. Many Italian and international artists went out into the squares to make large paintings showing their solidarity with the South American country; they included the Chilean artist Sebastian Matta, who painted two murals in Campo San Polo, and Spanish artist Eduardo Arroyo, leader of a “Brigada Salvador Allende” made up of Italian painters like Vittorio Basaglia, Vincenzo Eulisse, Paolo Gallerani, Alberto Gianquinto, Silvestro Lodi, Lino Marzulli, Fabrizio Merisi, Giorgio Nonveiller, Paolo Pennisi, Marcello Pirro, Giovanni Rubino, Guido Sartorelli, Tino Vaglieri and other students from the local art academy and from the secondary school for the arts in Treviso. Recurring themes and slogans in the murals included El pueblo unido jamás será vencido (“The people, united, will never be defeated”: the beginning of a famous song associated with the Chilean Unidad Popular movement), verses by Pablo Neruda, and emblematic images of raised hands, closed fists, red stars and faces, either serious or shouting. In addition to this spontaneous gesture, La Biennale’s Central Pavilion housed an exhibition
about political posters in Chile: over one hundred works made with different techniques which had appeared in Chilean cities between 1970 and 1974, that is, between Salvador Allende’s entry into office and the subsequent coup. There were also many theatrical performances and concerts, including one by the Inti-Illimani folk ensemble. VP
Today, Sofia Gubaidulina’s life story and artistic experiences, like the Golden Lion at the 2013 Biennale Musica, seem like a long adventure. It began when the Soviet Union was a vast, powerful empire, and a girl born in Chistopol, Tatarstan in 1931 fell in love with music. She studied piano and composing at Kazan and then Moscow. She was talented, but wanted to find her own voice without making any aesthetic or linguistic compromises, an attitude that the regime considered highly suspect and dangerous. In the Soviet Union of the 1950s and ’60s knowledge of contemporary Western music was extremely fragmentary and episodic, and it was above all Webern and Shostakovich’s lessons in freedom that encouraged her to go her own way, wherever it led her. The context in which the young composer trained and embarked on her career was complex and contradictory, however. Whilst the regime’s official organs condemned stylistic experimentation and the use of Western avant-garde techniques (seriality, electronic music, musique concrète, aleatoric music) in accordance with the canons of Socialist Realism, the Soviet music scene was actually quite varied and complex, with many impulses towards innovation. The 1970s were especially difficult: like other composers of her generation such as Edison Denisov and Alfred Schnittke, Gubaidulina paid for her modernist attitudes and refusal to accept the regime’s restrictions with exclusion from the official Soviet music world. In the 1980s a breath of fresh air swept in with the new political climate and brought new opportunities: for Gubaidulina it was the decade when she rose to prominence, even abroad. With its singular balance between ingenious construction and expressivity, her language evades labelling. Across half a century, the aesthetic and style that gave it substance constantly evolved and explored new perspectives, moving out concentrically, piece after piece, from a solid generative core. This core comes from a combination of different, interwoven elements: the spiritual origin and ethical urge of art-making, as a search for life’s profound, mysterious meaning; the need to put everything that to us seems scattered and
discordant back into a single, organic whole; a musical dramaturgy whose ritual, performative, gestural and narrative components are reconciled deep within a theatre of memory devoid of spectacle, where the object is not the image of action but the action itself. CF
6.1 **Adventures of Mowgli and the 2013 Golden Lion**

In the Soviet Union, composing soundtracks and theatrical scores became a source of income for many composers excluded or marginalised from the regime’s official channels and milieus, including Edison Denisov (1929-1996), Andrei Volkonsky (1933-2008), Alfred Schnittke (1934-1998), Giya Kancheli (1935-2019), Arvo Pärt (1935) and Valentin Silvestrov (1937). For Sofia Gubaidulina (1931), too, writing “utilitarian”, “applied” music was a major source of income for a few decades, and at the same time an opportunity for stylistic and expressive experimentation. In this sense, the soundtrack that Gubaidulina wrote for the animated film *Maugli* (Adventures of Mowgli) by Roman Davidov (1913-1988) is of especial interest. Inspired by Rudyard Kipling’s *The Jungle Book* – as was the 1967 Disney film by Wolfgang Reitherman – *Maugli* (1973) is composed of five short films lasting twenty minutes each, made from 1967 to 1971 and then assembled into a feature length film in 1973. CF

6.2 **“Khrennikov’s Seven”: Russian composers at the Biennale of Dissent**

Several concerts at the 1977 Biennale of Dissent and then at the 1979 edition featured the music of dissident Soviet composers, or composers disliked by the regime. It was in the wake of events such as these in the West that towards the end of the Brezhnev era, in November 1979, the powerful secretary general of the Soviet Composers Union, Tikhon Khrennikov (appointed in 1948 by Zhdanov) publicly condemned the music of seven composers, calling them “pointlessness [...] and noisy mud instead of real musical innovation”. The so-called Khrennikov Seven, who were actually very different from one another, were also accused of having taken part in certain festivals without the required authorisation: Vyacheslav Artyomov (1940-), Edison Denisov (1929-1996), Elena Firsova (1950-), Sofia Gubaidulina (1931-), Alexander Knaifel (1943-), Dmitri Smirnov (1948-2020) and Viktor Suslin (1942-2012) were thus put on an official Soviet blacklist. CF
The 1977 “Biennale of Dissent” was the first act of political and cultural support in Italy for the many people involved in various forms of resistance in the Soviet Union and other Communist countries. The project, a brainchild of Carlo Ripa di Meana (who conceived the event without the approval of the board of directors), met with immediate pushback from the Soviet government, which exerted all sorts of pressure on the Italian government – especially the Italian Communist Party, which was initially enthusiastic but then forced by threats from Moscow to oppose the idea. Apart from the government, opposition to Ripa di Meana’s idea also came from the Italian cultural elite, including Roman mayor and respected art critic Giulio Carlo Argan, who railed against it. On La Biennale di Venezia’s board, Ripa di Meana was forced to accept the resignations of Gregotti, Gambetti and Ronconi and to soldier on alone in his organisation of the event with little outside support, as he himself recalls: “During the difficult gestation of that Biennale, the only people backing me were really Bettino Craxi and Claudio Martelli, along with the De Michelis brothers and a few others.”

The Biennale of “Cultural Dissent” took place from 15 November to 15 December 1977. The programme included four exhibitions scattered around the city: New Art from the Soviet Union: An Unofficial Perspective; Czechoslovak Graphics: Eleven Years of Experimentation, 1965-1975; Theatre Posters and Photographs; Books, Magazines, Photographs, Videotapes, Samizdat. There were also seven intercultural conferences (on history, visual arts, film, religion, literature, theatre, science); performances by Wolf Biermann, Alexander Galich, Alexei Khvostenko, Karel Kryl, Joseph Brodsky and five Sovetskaya muzyka (Soviet music) concerts. In addition, there was a film survey on the theme of Eastern Europe, a number of roundtables, and a seminar on the work of Soviet director Sergei Parajanov, imprisoned for homosexuality. On 15 November 1977 the events opened in Museo Correr’s Napoleonic Wing with the public reading of a statement from dissident Andrei Sakharov, the theoretical physicist who won the Nobel Peace Prize in 1975. Poets Joseph Brodsky and Viktor Nekrasov,
philosopher Leszek Kolakowski and singer-songwriter Alexander Galich were also present, as were Susan Sontag, André Glucksmann, François Feitô, Alberto Moravia, Norberto Bobbio, Dario Fo, Gillo Dorfles, Renato Mieli, Paolo Flores D’Arcais, and Bettino Craxi. The Italian context in which the Biennale of Dissent came about was highly complex: bombings, protests and shootings were taking place across the country, in a period that would later be called the “years of lead”. In spring 1978 the kidnapping and killing of Christian Democrat leader Aldo Moro was the event that most shocked the public. It was Moro, in the months prior to his kidnapping, who had brought about an extremely important agreement between Italy’s two largest parties, his own centrist DC and the Italian Communist Party. The agreement led to what was known as the “historic compromise” with PCI secretary Enrico Berlinguer. VP
The Parajanov protest

Cinema e paesi dell’est (Cinema in Eastern European Nations), held at Cinema Olimpia and Teatro Malibran in November 1977, was the largest survey of dissident films from socialist countries ever to be held in the West. Directors included Véra Chytilová, István Gaál, Juraj Jakubisko, Otar Iosseliani, Andrei Michalkov-Konchalovsky, Jan Němec, Evald Schorm, Andrei Tarkovsky, Jiří Trnka, Andrzej Wajda, Krysztof Zanussi and Andrzej Żuławski. Many of them were well known and some of their films had already been seen, but little was known about Sergei Parajanov. In 1968 the Armenian director had signed a petition protesting the arrest of Ukrainian intellectuals, and for this reason the filming of Sayat-Nova – or Tsvet granata (The Colour of Pomegranates), the title of Sergei Yutkevich’s recut 1971 version – was halted and was only completed in 1969. The movie was immediately withdrawn for “extreme deviation from Russian realism”. In 1974, while he was working on a new film, Parajanov was arrested and sentenced to five years of hard labour for homosexuality, trafficking in art objects and incitement to suicide.

Fifteen days before the Venetian event, Angelo Pezzana, leader of the gay rights movement Fuori!, was expelled from the USSR for actions in support of Parajanov. Pezzana’s protest continued in Venice and Ripa di Meana transformed a seminar on the director into a “solidarity meeting”, alongside various international movements led by Surrealist Louis Aragon, which concluded with appeals for the director to be released and his films to circulate freely. Tini zabutykh predkiv (Shadows of Forgotten Ancestors) and Sayat-Nova were shown at the Olimpia, after an introduction in which Lino Micciché – who in 1997 would become president of La Biennale – read out a statement of protest addressed to the Soviet government and signed by filmmakers and critics. GG

New Art from the Soviet Union: An Unofficial Perspective, curated by Enrico Crispolti and Gabriella Moncada, 1977

The exhibition La nuova arte sovietica: Una prospettiva non ufficiale (New Art from the Soviet Union: An Unofficial Perspective), curated by Enrico Crispolti (1933-2018) and Gabriella Moncada, showcased artworks alongside photographic and video documentation, gathering the work of a hundred or so artists active in the Soviet Union and other countries. Their pieces mainly belonged to private Italian collections, and some paintings and sculptures which could not be secured were shown in slide projections. The exhibition was meant to be an “unofficial perspective” in the sense of divergent from the state-approved style, Socialist Realism. Held at the Arsenale’s Palazzetto dello Sport, the show was divided into seven sections: Expressionist Figuration and Lyrical Figuration; Action, Matter, Image; Post-Constructivist and Organic Abstraction; Kinetic Art; Surreal Figuration; Humour and More, Exploring the Everyday; Conceptual Mediation, Behaviour and Collective Action. The most interesting contributions included the cybernetic
experiments of the Dvizhenie movement, the work of Ilya Kabakov and Alexander Melamid, Vitaly Komar, Rimma Gerlovina, Valeriy Gerlovin, Francisco Infante Arana and the CAG group, made up of Nikita Alexeev, Georgii Kizevalter and Andrei Monastyrsky. The projects focused on dissent and the exhibition by Enrico Crispolti (a professor of contemporary art history) had an extremely powerful echo in the Italian press, highlighting the range of orientations – and of relationships with the Soviet Union – in the Italian Left.

7.3A The Samizdat and art-tapes exhibitions

On 15 November, an exhibition opened at Museo Correr that explored samizdat, self-published work. This was a very widespread phenomenon in Soviet countries, especially in the 1960s and ‘70s, among those seeking to evade censorship and state publishing; the Russian word samizdat was used to describe the typewritten production of banned texts on tissue paper. Very few copies could be made this way, but anyone who came into possession of one was supposed to replicate it in turn to disseminate new texts, in disciplines ranging from art to politics and from religion to science. Closely tied to the word samizdat was tamizdat: a text which reached the West as samizdat, was printed in book or pamphlet form, and returned to the Soviet Union. Magnetizat (or magnetizadat), on the other hand, was oral, recorded samizdat. The exhibition displayed all these forms of cultural expression, bringing thousands of documents to Venice. From June to December 1977, in addition to the exhibitions, La Biennale held dozens of conferences, seminars and workshops. In October and November, for example, some of the art-tapes videos produced by Maria Gloria Bicocchi were presented to the public at the new ASAC site in Ca' Corner della Regina. Called art/tapes/22, the production included work by Marina Abramović, Vito Acconci, Allan Kaprow, Ketty La Rocca, Urs Lüthi, Dennis Oppenheim and Bill Viola.

7.3B The end of Carlo Ripa di Meana's presidency

The 1977 Biennale was an overall success. The events focused on dissent were covered by 763 international journalists, twenty-five Italian radio and TV stations and fifteen international ones; 350 scholars from a range of different countries took part, and over 220 thousand people visited the Samizdat exhibition at Museo Correr. Ripa di Meana stayed on at the helm and also reconfirmed the board of directors. The theme chosen for 1978 could not have seemed further from politics – “From Nature to Art and from Art to Nature – but as the president himself explained, this topic actually opened up “new possibilities of participation for both highly industrialised countries and for others whose social, historical and cultural lives are very different.” Luigi Scarpa, who had already taken an organisational role in the 1976 edition, was appointed artistic director of the Visual Arts department, and the Italian pavilion was to be overseen by Luigi Carluccio, Enrico Crispolti and Lara Vinca Masini. It was unclear whether the Soviet Union and its satellite nations would be
there. Only at the end of April 1978 did their unwillingness to attend become less unanimous, with the announcement that Romania would take part. After the events of 1977, many Eastern Bloc countries did not come to the Biennale for years. For the 1978 edition the Biennale Arte returned to the Giardini di Castello. The nature theme was explored, in the main exhibition, through Sei stazioni per artenatura: La natura dell’arte (Six Stations for Art-Nature: The Nature of Art). Curated by Jean Cristophe Amman, Achille Bonito Oliva, Antonio del Guercio and Filiberto Menna, it was a critical re-reading of modern art in relation to the environment. At the end of this Biennale, Ripa di Meana did not seek reappointment, and in 1979 he ran and was elected to the first European parliament.
Architecture officially became its own separate department for the first time during Giuseppe Galasso’s four-year presidency (1979-1982). Galasso appointed Paolo Portoghesi to be artistic director, with four co-curators: Charles Jencks, Christian Norberg-Schulz, Vincent Scully and Kenneth Frampton. After Aldo Rossi’s Teatro del Mondo, a temporary building anchored to Punta della Dogana in 1979-80, the new director curated the first International Architecture Exhibition, titled La presenza del passato (The Presence of the Past).

The theme driving this first exhibition was postmodernism, which challenged modernism’s aspirations toward innovation, technology, free-plan spaces and pure geometric forms. Postmodernism, whose theorists included Charles Jencks, presented a new vision of history as a boundless reservoir of images and evocations from which architects could freely borrow forms, styles and decorative elements. This was the idea which inspired Portoghesi’s Strada Novissima, the key exhibition at the Corderie of the Arsenale, whose historic space was opened to the public for the first time for the Biennale. Strada Novissima consisted of twenty facades designed by famous architects as if they were a theatrical backdrop, a hypothetical “street” of postmodern buildings. It offered visitors a direct, tactile experience of architecture, in an exhibition that was “with architecture rather than about architecture”, as Portoghesi said. Famous architects from all over the world were invited to help create this Strada Novissima, which fostered a lively debate about postmodernism and became a symbol of the movement. They included Frank O. Gehry, Michael Graves, Hans Hollein, Rem Koolhaas, Árata Isozaki, Robert Venturi and Denise Scott Brown.

With its first architecture exhibition, La Biennale became an important part of the international architecture debate, given its ability to bring the very latest developments and experiments to Venice. With
Aldo Rossi’s *Strada Novissima* and *Teatro del Mondo*, the postmodernist movement achieved international recognition. That same year, the Visual Arts department inaugurated the first edition of *Aperto* (Open), La Biennale di Venezia’s new section for young artists, which continued until 1993. In the context of the 1980s, *Aperto* registered and absorbed new impulses similar to those found in the first Biennale Architettura; it marked the birth of a postmodern sensibility that transcended the rules of modernism and the great ideological narratives of the twentieth century, rekindling an interest in history, eclecticism and the joys of the ephemeral.

A similar sensibility could also be seen the 1984 and 1986 editions of Biennale Arte directed by Maurizio Calvesi, titled *Arte e Arti – Attualità e Storia* (Art and Arts – The Current Situation and History, 1984) and *Arte e Scienza* (Art and Science, 1986), which were particularly noteworthy for their blending of contemporary and ancient art forms. MCC
In 1980 La Biennale inaugurated the Architecture department as its own section. On 27 July of that same year the first International Architecture Exhibition, *La presenza del passato* (The Presence of the Past) was held, under the direction of Paolo Portoghesi with the assistance of four co-curators – Charles Jencks, Christian Norberg-Schulz, Vincent Scully and Kenneth Frampton. *La Strada Novissima*, curated by Portoghesi himself, was presented at the historic site of the Corderie in the Arsenale, opened to the public for the first time. Twenty architects from all over the world were invited to reflect on the relationship between architectural heritage and the avant-garde, exploring the idea of the city street as a sort of stage set. They designed twenty life-size house facades built by artisans from the Cinecittà film studios, which together comprised a seventy-metre-long, six-metre-high installation. This “gallery of architectural self-portraits”, as Portoghesi himself defined it, included work by the Italians Costantino Dardi, Paolo Portoghesi with Francesco Cellini and Claudio D’Amato, Franco Purini with Laura Thermes, Studio GRAU and Massimo Scolari, as well as international architects like Ricardo Bofill, Frank O. Gehry, Michael Graves, Allan Greenberg, Hans Hollein, Arata Isozaki, Josef Paul Kleihues, Rem Koolhaas, Léon Krier, Charles W. Moore, Thomas Gordon Smith, Robert A. M. Stern, Stanley Tigerman, Oswald Mathias Ungers, and Robert Venturi with Denise Scott Brown and John Rauch. Behind each facade was a room documenting each architect’s most significant work. Aldo Rossi designed the entrance portal. *La Strada Novissima* was a concrete metaphor for architecture as spectacle and revealed a new way of thinking about the discipline. By rediscovering the liberating power of practices like eclecticism and revival that had been frowned upon by modernism, it brought the international debate on postmodern architecture into the heart of La Biennale.

Inside the Corderie, three solo exhibitions of work by Ignazio Gardella, Philip Johnson and Mario Ridolfi also contributed to exploring architectural roots, the central theme of the event. The upper floors of the Corderie showcased the work of seventy-six other architects, including Alessandro Mendini, Paola Navone, Daniela Puppa and Franco Raggi, who presented *Oggetto banale* (Banal Object) – a collection of forty everyday items – as well as a painting, a “banal” architectural model, and a room. Amidst the crisis in radical architecture, the idea of “banal design” was advanced by Mendini as a possibile path of future development and innovation.

The exhibition and the *Strada* drew vast coverage in the international press, showing how useful it was to expand the debate beyond the specialised critical milieu. By organising the first International Architecture Exhibition at the Arsenale and symbolically recreating a piece of the city inside it, La Biennale expressed the hope that one of Venice’s most vital spaces would be restored to public use. MCC

---

In July 1979 Paolo Portoghesi invited Aldo Rossi to take part in his exhibition *Venezia e lo spazio scenico* (Venice and Theatrical Space) by designing a theatre that would
conjure up the sixteenth-century tradition of spectacular floating installations. Rossi chose the morphological theme of the tower for a space that would not only be floating but enclosed, and would measure up to the historic buildings of the San Marco basin. Consciously built to be temporary, the Teatro del Mondo (Theatre of the World) was supported by an easy-to-disassemble frame of steel piping covered in wood, resting on a barge that allowed it to float and be transported on water. Standing around twenty-five metres high, the Teatro could accommodate up to 400 people. Two symmetrical flights of stairs rose about two metres over the central space, which was topped by a final zinc-roofed section with a terrace around it. The structure was crowned by a sphere with a triangular flag.

The floating theatre remained moored at the Salute for ten days in November 1979. But it was during its amazing journey through the Marghera fog, from its assembly point in the Fusina shipyards to Punta della Dogana, that Teatro del Mondo was at its most spectacular, even before it housed any performances. Used in 1980 by the Theatre department directed by Maurizio Scaparro for the first edition of the Venice Carnival, in the summer of that same year it was transported by sea to the Dubrovnik Theatre Festival. Probably the most famous temporary work of the twentieth century, Teatro del Mondo has lived on in the memories of architects, theatre people and artists. MCC

8.3A Aperto 80, curated by Achille Bonito Oliva and Harald Szeemann

1980 marked the first edition of Aperto (Open), the Biennale’s new section for young artists, which continued until 1993. It was curated by Achille Bonito Oliva, who was already on the Visual Arts Commission for the International Exhibition that year, together with Harald Szeemann, the famous Swiss curator who had already worked with the Biennale in 1975, bringing The Bachelor Machines to the same Magazzini del Sale industrial space on the Fondamenta delle Zattere. While the International Exhibition L’arte degli anni ‘70 (The Art of the 1970s) focused on work of the previous decade, Aperto 80, as it was later called, brought together the most recent trends in art. This exhibition showed a return to painting after the upheavals of the 1970s and the waves of conceptual, performance and interdisciplinary work.

The exhibiting artists included those later known as the Transavanguardia Italiana (Italian Transavantgarde) group championed by Bonito Oliva, with Sandro Chia, Francesco Clemente, Enzo Cucchi, Nicola De Maria and Mimmo Paladino, but also young international artists like Jonathan Borofsky, Tony Cragg, Ulrike Ottinger, Susan Rothenberg, Julian Schnabel and Joe Zucker. Aperto registered and absorbed new impulses of the 1980s much like those found in the first Biennale Architettura that same year; it marked the birth of a postmodern sensibility that transcended the rules of modernism and the great ideological narratives of the twentieth century, rekindling an interest in history, eclecticism and the joys of the ephemeral. CA
Maurizio Calvesi

Art historian, academic and curator Maurizio Calvesi was one of Italy’s most insightful and influential postwar critics. Having studied under the famous art historians Lionello Venturi and Giulio Carlo Argan, Calvesi worked with La Biennale di Venezia for many years in a range of capacities. In various editions from 1964 to 1977 he sat on both the figurative art commission and on the jury panel. From 1980 to 1982 he was a member of the board of directors. In 1984 and in 1986 he directed the Visual Arts department, where he organised two editions titled Arte e Arti – Attualità e Storia (1984) and Arte e Scienza (1986) (Art and the Arts – Current Affairs and History and Art and Science) which stood out in particular for their blending of contemporary and historic art. From 1988 to 1997 he returned to the Biennale many times as a jury member and to sit on the expert panel for the centenary exhibition and the panel for the 1995 Italian contribution. His profound knowledge of Italian art, both historic and contemporary, yielded important literature on Baroque art and Caravaggio, Futurism and Alberto Burri. His studies on the art of the 1960s are famous, including Le due avanguardie: dal Futurismo alla Pop Art e Avanguardia di Massa, whose title captured many of the “mass avant-garde” transformations taking place within art, culture and social conventions, even within La Biennale, from the 1960s onwards. He imbued his two editions of Biennale Arte with his multifaceted openness to other disciplines like psychology, welcoming cross-pollination from other fields of knowledge and the very latest trends in contemporary art. CA

3rd International Architecture Exhibition, Designing Venice, curated by Aldo Rossi, 1985

Progetto Venezia (Designing Venice) was the title of the third Biennale Architettura in 1985, with Paolo Portoghesi as artistic director and Aldo Rossi as curator. Both well-established and emerging architects were invited to present innovative ideas and designs that dealt with the issue of fitting the new into the old, of innovative architecture within historic contexts, and of Venice’s relationship with its hinterland. The areas focused on for the competition were the centre of Venice – the Accademia bridge, Rialto market, Ca’ Venier dei Leoni – and sites around the Veneto region – the piazzas of Badoere, Este, and Palmanova; Villa Farsetti in Santa Maria di Sala; the castles of Romeo and Juliet in Montecchio Maggiore; the fortress in Noale and Prato della Valle in Padua.

An international jury chaired by Rossi and made up of Sandro Benedetti, Gianfranco Caniggia, Claudio D’Amato, Guglielmo De Angelis D’Ossat, Diane Ghirardo, Bernard Huet, Robert Krier, Rafael Moneo, Werner Oechslin and Gino Valle selected the best proposals. The challenges and opportunities of redesigning urban space offered by Progetto Venezia inspired a vast number of participants, with 1500 projects coming in from around the world. “Leoni di Pietra” – Stone Lions – were awarded to Robert Venturi, Manuel Pascal Schupp, COPRAT and Franco Purini for the Ponte
In addition to the “Stone Lions”, Rossi selected the most significant designs from the remaining projects and created posters with the best drawings from each one. Copies of these posters were printed and used to cover “arches” set along the main avenue leading to the Central Pavilion. This officially brought architecture into the Giardini, for an edition which demonstrated, as Rossi explained at the opening, “the potential for architecture to be exhibited as a craft, a technique, a discipline that has freed itself of the ideological framework which kept it from expressing itself in a range of ways.” The 3rd International Architecture Exhibition thus broke with the focus on modernism and postmodernism that had characterised the first two editions. MCC
Right from the very first edition in 1895, the story of La Biennale di Venezia has been full of media scandals, political machinations, moments of prudery, attempts at censorship by the Church, and complaints from critics and the general public: a battle fought in the headlines, on the news, and in press releases, that would characterise many events in its history over the decades.

This room presents a selection of episodes which capture the spectacularisation of culture in an era – especially from the 1960s onwards – when new media burst onto the stage of a nascent globalised society. As channels of communication multiplied and a new international culture emerged, La Biennale became an extraordinarily powerful platform that captured the attention of the entire world, in a dramatic shift from a culture of contemplation to the culture of the event. The situation was made even more complex by artists who deliberately sought out scandal as a liberating way to bring their messages into the global arena, or as a critique of what was described, even at the time, as the “society of the spectacle”.

This room provides an overview of artworks harshly criticised by the Church, such as Jeff Koons’ Made in Heaven, where the artist depicted himself in explicit poses with the porn star Cicciolina: a work that was even vandalised. More than a century earlier, at the first Biennale in 1895, Giacomo Grosso exhibited Il supremo convegno (The Final Tryst), a large, equally explicit painting which scandalised and annoyed the Church but won the public prize. Animals have also frequently caused a hubbub at the Biennale. In 1972 the Mass Moving collective freed thousands of butterflies in Piazza San Marco, prompting anger from animal rights activists. The Film Festival has been punctuated by controversies and episodes of censorship,
with outside forces intervening in the selection or exclusion of certain films. As with the visual arts, the wrangling was over content considered too explicitly sexual, offensive to the Church, or even politically inopportune. In the 1960s Pier Paolo Pasolini’s *Mamma Roma* and Stanley Kubrick’s *Lolita* caused a stir. In 1966 Gillo Pontecorvo’s *La battaglia di Algeri* won a Golden Lion, but offended the French government with its frank criticism of France’s colonial policies.

One case which received a great deal of media attention was that of Carmelo Bene, artistic director of the 1989 Biennale Teatro; his programme was meant to focus on experimentation rather than the end product, championing a kind of “theatre without the show”. But this never came to pass. In a legendary press conference aired on RAI TV, Carmelo Bene presented his vision through a strange performance that swiftly led to his resignation. CA
Carmelo Bene: The Impossible Quest

Carmelo Bene was appointed artistic director of Biennale Teatro in 1988 and chose *La ricerca impossibile: il teatro senza spettacolo* (The Impossible Quest: Theatre without the Show) as the title of his programme. His goal was not to proclaim the futility of theatrical experimentation or salute its demise, but rather to rethink it outside the rules of performance and the constraints of production mechanisms. Any “spectacle”, as the formalised outcome of experimentation, was bound to be a product of the state, whose economic and organisational dictates would necessarily determine the time, mode, and criteria of creativity. It is now interesting to note that, budget disputes with La Biennale aside, Carmelo Bene’s programme was indeed a paradoxically consistent “theatre without the show”. The planned performances – Christopher Marlowe’s Tamburlaine the Great, divided into sections entrusted to different groups or actors, and Pierre Klossowski’s Baphomet – never saw the light of day, remaining merely what Bene had probably intended, i.e. a virtually endless workshop. This can be seen, today, from essays that retrace the buzzing hive of ideas that Carmelo Bene created by bringing together artists and scholars. Its main purpose was to investigate a key concept in his work: the “acting machine”, in which actors essentially gave up their identities and became pieces of machinery, sometimes through the use of audio devices that transformed their voices into a musical rhythm entirely antithetical to the traditional cadence of prose. Moving in this direction, Carmelo Bene’s investigation was meant to sound out the impossible, or what he called the “barbaric”, in an attempt to reconnect with the barbarism that lies at the root of theatre, as a game that transcends codified meaning or language. After many disputes with La Biennale, Bene resigned in February 1990, ushering in a period of disputes and conflicts that seriously challenged the founding principles of Biennale Teatro. But one may have to admit, in hindsight and with a bit of surprise, just how memorable his non-Biennale managed to become, and – to paraphrase something Carmelo Bene used to say about himself – just how present it was in its absence. FB

James Lee Byars, Holy Ghost, 1975

James Lee Byars (1932-1997) was an American artist specialised in sculpture, installations and performances filled with conceptual, mystical power. In 1972 he was invited by Harald Szeemann to Documenta 5 in Kassel, where he stood on the threshold of the Fridericianum Museum for the entire vernissage, his back turned to visitors, with one foot inside the exhibition and one foot outside of it. He and Szeemann struck up a friendship, and in 1975 the curator invited him to present a performance to inaugurate *The Bachelor Machines* in Venice. At 4.30 pm on Saturday, 6 September, an immense human-shaped piece of white canvas, sixty metres long and forty metres wide, was unrolled in Piazza San Marco. Wearing a black top hat and dressed entirely in gold, the artist directed the effort to position this huge cutout, which viewers enthusiastically lifted up bit by bit and moved around for several minutes.
In the end, Lee Byars gathered all the material into a black bag that was carried by boat to the Magazzini del Sale and returned to the entrance of The Bachelor Machines. This performance’s provocative title was James Lee Byars Does the Holy Ghost, suggesting religious connotations perhaps inspired by the chosen location in front of the basilica. Whilst the event was welcomed and enjoyed by those in Piazza San Marco, the newspaper reviews were extremely sarcastic, calling the work a “doll”, “sheet” or “ghost”. VP

9.3A Giacomo Grosso,  
The Final Tryst, 1895

At the first edition of Biennale Arte in 1895, a scandal erupted around one of Giacomo Grosso’s paintings. The work depicted a man in a coffin, with five nude female figures draping themselves over the dead body in lascivious poses. It caused such an uproar that the Patriarch of Venice, Giuseppe Sarto (the future Pope Pius X), refused to visit the exhibition and wrote to the Biennale’s founder, Mayor Riccardo Selvatico, telling him it had to be removed. The painting was exhibited nonetheless, and in amidst all the controversy it even won the public prize, though Selvatico lost the city elections to a moderate Catholic coalition supported by the Venetian curia. An investment company then bought the painting with the intention of taking it on tour around America, but it ended up being accidentally destroyed in a fire. CA

9.3A Jeff Koons,  
Made in Heaven, 1990

Jeff Koons took part in Aperto 90, the young art section of the 44th International Art Exhibition at the Corderie, curated by Renato Barilli, Bernard Blistène, Jacob Wenzel, Stuart Morgan, and Linda Shearer. On this occasion Koons presented Made in Heaven, a series of paintings and a sculpture celebrating his romantic relationship with Cicciolina, a Hungarian-born porn actress who was then in the Italian Parliament. The painting’s explicitly sexual content scandalised the Italian press, which attacked it in the name of decency and morality; meanwhile, Karl Lagerfeld immortalised the couple in an amorous embrace at Hotel Danieli for Vogue America. The work remained on view despite demands that it be removed, but some of the paintings were vandalised on 3 September 1990. CA

9.3A Gino De Dominicis,  
Second Solution of Immortality: The Universe Is Immobile, 1972

Gino De Dominicis was one most prolific young Italian artists of the time, and his work was exhibited in various editions of Biennale Arte. The first occasion was in 1972, at the 36th International Art Exhibition, when Renato Barilli invited him to take part in the exhibition Opera o comportamento (Work or Behaviour) which the Bologna-based critic was curating with Francesco Arcangeli. The piece presented by De Dominicis caused an enormous stir. It was a installation comprised of various conceptual works created
in previous years: *Cubo invisibile* (Invisible Cube, 1967), *Palla di gomma (caduta da 2 metri)* nell’attimo immediatamente precedente il rimbalzo (Rubber ball [dropped from a height of 2 meters] in the moment just before bouncing, 1968-69) e *Attesa di un casuale movimento molecolare generale in una sola direzione, tale da generare un movimento spontaneo della pietra* (Waiting for a random, general molecular movement in one direction to generate a spontaneous movement of the stone, 1969) which had already been shown at Fabio Sargentini’s L’Attico gallery in 1969. On 9 June, for the opening, De Dominicis added another element to this work: Paolo Rosa, a young man with Down syndrome, who sat there in a corner contemplating the works laid out in front of him. Although this tableau vivant remained on view only for a few hours – the young man was soon replaced by a little girl sitting in the same seat with the same caption (“Second Solution of Immortality”) – it triggered extremely indignant reactions from critics and intellectuals, including Pier Paolo Pasolini and even Eugenio Montale, who mentioned the event in his Nobel acceptance speech. The outrage made it all the way to Parliament, and charges were brought against De Dominicis for “abduction of an incapacitated person”. CA


At the very same edition of *Aperto* where Jeff Koons’ work caused such a stir, another American installation brought an angry response from the church. It was a work by Gran Fury, a New York collective of artists and activists campaigning for the rights of AIDS victims. In the Corderie spaces the group presented two large posters, one with a picture of John Paul II alongside a text denouncing the Catholic Church’s attitude to the AIDS epidemic, the other a phallus surrounded by slogans encouraging the use of condoms. After protests and open condemnation by the Vatican and the general public, the artistic director of the Visual Arts department, Giovanni Carandente, considered removing the work, but ultimately stayed true to the integrity of La Biennale and the principles of free expression that have always inspired it. CA

9.3B Scandals, controversies and censorship at the Film Festival

The postwar history of the Venice International Film Festival is punctuated by controversies and attempts at censorship; it points to an evolution, over the years, in the way viewers, society and institutions reacted to films considered offensive to public decency and religion, or which irritated political leaders. The most glaring example of this was Gillo Pontecorvo’s 1966 film *La battaglia di Algeri* (The Battle of Algiers), which won a Golden Lion. The French delegation walked out of the theatre and left the Lido, deeming the film an attack on its government’s colonial policies. Georges Sadoul, one of the leading film historians of the time, wrote: “France just lost the Battle of Algiers for the second time”. Another serious episode of interference was when Clare Boothe Luce, the US ambassador, succeeded in having Richard Brooks’ film *Blackboard Jungle* taken off the programme on the grounds that it was “degenerate” and
gave a counterproductive image of the United States. This interference had considerable support back home: director Joseph Mankiewicz sympathised with the ambassador, writing that European festivals were a platform for the intellectual arrogance of those who denigrated American institutions and society. Ottavio Croze, the festival director, did as he was told and replaced the film with Curtis Bernhardt’s *Interrupted Melody*. In 1956, *Calle Mayor* by Juan Antonio Bardem, an opponent of the Spanish regime, prompted the intervention of General Franco himself, but this time the new artistic director, Floris Luigi Ammannati, stood his ground and the movie was screened.

Other controversies accompanied films such as Luigi Zampa’s *La romana* (1954), Louis Malle’s *Les Amants* (1958), Pier Paolo Pasolini’s *Mamma Roma* (1961) and Stanley Kubrick’s *Lolita* (1962). In 1966 the scandalous film was Mai Zetterling’s *Nattlek*: Cardinal Urbani, Patriarch of Venice, attacked it as “contrary to sound moral principles”, resulting in a screening where only journalists were admitted. Accusations of offending religious sensibilities were levied at Ken Russell’s *The Devils* (1971), which the critic Father Claudio Sorgi defined “intolerably blasphemous in every way”, and Martin Scorsese’s *The Last Temptation of Christ* (1988), which sparked protests in front of the Palazzo del Cinema and legal charges against La Biennale.


Argentine artist Nicolás García Uriburu came to Venice in June 1968 just as major student protests were erupting around the opening of the 34th International Art Exhibition, to which Uriburu had not been officially invited. At 8 am on 19 June, Uriburu convinced a gondolier to take him out along the Grand Canal into which he poured thirty kilos of a non-toxic fluorescent substance that turned the water bright green for many hours. This surreal spectacle left the city frightened and confused, but news soon spread that it was just another action by a contemporary artist who wanted to raise awareness of environmental issues. Uriburu’s work with images documenting this gesture was then officially exhibited at Biennale Arte 2017, showing him to be a pioneer of environmental art.

9.4 Mass Moving, *Biological Liberation of 10,000 Butterflies in Piazza San Marco, Venice, 1972*

The Belgian Mass Moving Project collective installed a large white sculpture in the shape of a giant cocoon at Piazza San Marco, containing 10,000 pupae of live white butterflies. On 11 June 1972 the cloth that had covered the sculpture until the day of the opening was removed and the hatched butterflies invaded the square and the rest of
Antonio Paradiso, *Bull and Mechanical Cow, 1978*

For Biennale Arte 1978, which focused on the relationship between art and nature, Antonio Paradiso installed an enclosure containing a mechanical cow – a device generally used for artificial insemination on cattle farms – in the space in front of the Belgian pavilion. The artist then brought a massive living bull named Pinco to the Giardini; Pinco’s resulting encounter with the mechanical cow prompted considerable perplexity and shock, not to mention disapproval from the pope.

Pina Bausch in Venice, 1985

Pina Bausch (1940-2009) is a legendary name in contemporary dance. This Polish-German dancer trained at the Folkwangschule in Essen under the direction of Kurt Jooss, an anti-Nazi choreographer best known for his parable *The Green Table*, in which the rulers of the world gamble with the fates of nations on the battlefield. In 1960 Bausch began studying at Juilliard in New York City, where she met many eminent figures in American dance. On her return to Germany she joined Jooss’ Volkswang-Ballett and successfully turned her hand to choreography, ultimately directing her own Tanztheater group at Schauspielhaus in Wuppertal from 1973 to 1974. Her direction was criticised by ballet lovers, however, since traditionalists disliked the prosaic realism of her touching pieces, which were meant to be experienced empathically rather than understood intellectually. But she triumphed at the Festival Mondial du Théâtre de Nancy in 1977 and earned the admiration of Federico Fellini, who asked her to play the Countess in his 1983 film *E la nave va*. In 1985 Franco Quadri’s Biennale Teatro dedicated a solo event to the choreographer and director, with eight amazing works: *Frühlingsoer (1975)*, *Die sieben Todsünden (1976)*, *Blaubart (1977)*, *Café Müller (1978)*, *Kontakthof (1978)*, *1980, ein Stück von Pina Bausch (1980)*, and *Bandoneon (1980)*, and *Auf dem Gebirge (1984)*. Her dancer-actors – people, not characters, with a tragicomic malaise that anyone can relate to – became the vectors for an enduring, pervasive “Bauschian infiltration” of Italian and European dance.
From 1966 to 1976, China was gripped by the Cultural Revolution that Mao orchestrated at the end of his rule. With the Communist regime’s repression of criticism and dissent (intellectuals being relegated to the lowest rung on the social ladder, the “stinking ninth category”), music, too, found itself in the censor’s crosshairs: not only contemporary, modernist and avant-garde music, but also the cultured Western tradition, considered a deleterious product of Europe. It was only in the late 1970s, in the wake of Mao’s death and the accusations brought against the so-called Gang of Four for the persecution and horrors of the Cultural Revolution, that Western classical music was once again cultivated and studied in Communist China. Tan Dun is emblematic of this process (1957). Born and raised in a small village in Hunan, a mountainous province in Southern China, Tan Dun assimilated its ancient shamanistic culture from an early age. He developed a passion for music, despite being sent to work in the rice paddies at the age of fifteen; it was only in 1978, when the Beijing Conservatory reopened and he was one of the first to enrol, that he came into contact with Western music. This was the starting point for the career of a composer who is one of the best examples of Eastern creativity being wedded and interwoven with the Western modern and contemporary tradition. His rediscovery of traditional Chinese culture and its deep spiritual roots made him so unpopular with the Communist regime that in 1986, immediately after his piece On Taoism (1985) brought him to the international limelight, Tan Dun decided to move to the United States. One perfect illustration of his melding of East and West is the opera Marco Polo (1995). Ritual shamanistic music has retained an essential role in Tan Dun’s artistic vision and compositional technique; his holistic ideal of a musical symbiosis with the environment is expressed in compositions where natural elements like water, stone, paper, and ceramics interact with classic orchestral instruments. On the other hand, technology has also
played a decisive role in his work. A one-of-a-kind figure on the international scene, Tan Dun is one of just a handful of composers to have become a popular phenomenon, with fifteen million views on YouTube for his *Internet Symphony* (2009). Tan Dun won a Golden Lion at the Biennale Musica in 2017. *Buddha Passion* (2018) is a monumental oratorio in six acts for seven solo voices, choir and orchestra. For the very first time, it interweaves stories that have held a key place in Eastern cultures for thousands of years with the Passion tradition of Christian music. Inspired by the majestic Mogao Grottoes in Dunhuang, its subject is Buddha’s teachings and the universal concepts of love, forgiveness, sacrifice and salvation. CF
This room focuses on transformations in the concept of national identity and the idea of the nation state, which played a key role in the European history and of La Biennale di Venezia for many years. The 1990s brought epoch-making changes that upset the entire global balance of power. This was the decade which followed the fall of the Berlin Wall and the end of the Cold War, the end of the standoff between the great Western and Soviet blocs and the emergence of a new borderless European community. It was also a decade of crisis for the nation state, a founding concept for the Biennale: the exhibition model presented at the Venetian institution was an outgrowth of the nineteenth-century world’s fairs that trumpeted the power of colonial empires. Representing national identity through pavilions was another highly symbolic demonstration of the power that nation states attempted to exert in the twentieth century. This room offers an overview of the history of the Giardini di Castello’s national pavilions, which mirrored twentieth-century geopolitical dynamics through changes to their architecture, starting with the first pavilion built in 1907, that of Belgium.

In the 1990s, La Biennale reflected the crisis of the nation state model that had played such a leading role in nineteenth and twentieth-century history. In an extraordinarily clear visual summary, the 1993 Biennale Arte illustrated the collapse of twentieth-century national identity, with three national pavilions bearing witness to the end of the great powers that had emerged at the end of World War Two, implying new frameworks and cultural transformations.

1998 was a year of major transformations: La Biennale was converted from a public body into a foundation, acquiring greater autonomy and flexibility. That same year Paolo Baratta was appointed president and ushered in significant changes: new exhibition spaces at Arsenale; new specific areas and auditoriums for dance, music and theatre events; a single curator appointed by La Biennale and responsible...
for the International Art Exhibition; an increase in participating countries, with national pavilions in the historic centre or at new Arsenale sites. 1999 was the year of the first Biennale Danza with Carolyn Carlson as artistic director, with the opening edition focusing on women and water. That same year, Paolo Baratta appointed the famous Swiss curator Harald Szeemann to direct the Visual Arts department; he organised dAPERTutto – APERTO over ALL – APERTO par TOUT – APERTO über ALL, an innovative, visionary exhibition which that expanded La Biennale’s customary boundaries and shook up its artistic map, bringing in artists from all over the world and paying special attention to a vast army of Chinese artists. La Biennale sensed and celebrated the dawn of a new globalised world where national borders intertwine with international phenomena – as the events of recent months have reminded us, with a remote Chinese city called Wuhan turning out to be much closer to Venice, in every way, than we ever could have imagined. CA
**Aperto 93: Emergenza/Emergency**

*Aperto* 93 was the last edition of this famous section devoted to young artists, which was absorbed back into the main *Biennale Arte* exhibition starting in 1997. The 45th International Art Exhibition, which should have taken place in 1992, was postponed to 1993, so that the next edition could be held in 1995 to celebrate the Biennale’s centenary. In 1993 Achille Bonito Oliva curated an edition titled *Punti cardinali dell’arte* (*Art’s Cardinal Points*) with many exhibitions spread out across the city in various spaces and institutions. Bonito Oliva also oversaw *Aperto*, inviting Helena Kontova to bring together critics, curators and art world figures such as Francesco Bonami, Nicolas Bourriaud, Jeffrey Deitch, Berta Sichel and Matthew Slotover to present the most interesting emerging talents of the moment in the Arsenale spaces. Out of the many curatorial contributions, one should note that of Francesco Bonami, who would become artistic director of *Biennale Arte* 2003 ten years later. He brought a whole platoon of rising American artists to *Aperto* 93, including Matthew Barney (who won the Premio Europa 2000), Jessica Diamond, Charles Ray, Mexican artist Gabriel Orozco, and Italian artists Rudolf Stingel and Maurizio Cattelan. Other sections featured the work of the very young Kai Althoff, Janine Antoni, John Currin, Sylvie Fleury, Dominique Gonzalez-Foerster, Damien Hirst, Carsten Höller, Philippe Parreno, Pipilotti Rist, Rirkrit Tiravanija, Nari Ward and Andrea Zittel, as well as many others who were to return in later editions of *Biennale Arte*. CA

**The national pavilions in 1993:**

**Hans Haacke, Richard Hamilton, Ilya Kabakov**

1993 was a watershed year in which the Soviet Union crumbled, the consequences of the fall of the Berlin Wall made themselves felt, and a more general disillusionment with the nation state concept took hold. Many of the artists invited to represent their countries in the *Biennale Arte*’s national pavilions that year presented complex meditations in which the concept of nationality was not only a theme but almost a means of expression. In the pavilion of the German Federal Republic – representing the reunified nation for the first time – Hans Haacke’s *GERMANIA* installation depicted history in ruins, tearing up the floor of the pavilion that had been remodelled in Neoclassical style by the Nazi regime in the 1930s. At the same time, it seemed to envisage a new construction site for rebuilding a reunified country. Alongside the German pavilion, the British pavilion was also worthy of note, with Richard Hamilton’s paintings evoking the conflict in Northern Ireland. Following the breakup of the Soviet Union, Russia took part in the *Biennale* for the first time as the Commonwealth of Independent States. Ilya Kabakov was the first living artist to represent Russia in a solo exhibition. Together with Haacke’s ruins, Kabakov’s *Red Pavilion* was one of *Biennale Arte* 1993’s most famous installations. Visitors passed through an empty, abandoned Russian pavilion to a new building, a miniature pavilion set up in the garden at the back. Painted a festive pink and red and
decorated with Soviet-era symbols like the hammer and sickle and red stars, it wafted solemn music from marches and celebrations of the 1950s as if to remind us that the past is not too far off. Haacke’s pavilion won Best National Participation; Richard Hamilton won the International Award for best artist, while Kabakov won a special mention from the jury. CA


In 1995 La Biennale di Venezia celebrated its centenary. For this special edition Jean Clair was invited to serve as the artistic director of the Visual Arts department, the first time a non-Italian had occupied this role in the Venetian institution’s hundred-year history. Jean Clair had risen to prominence in the 1980s at the Centre Pompidou, with a series of important exhibitions, and had headed the Picasso Museum in Paris since 1989. His first encounter with the Biennale came at a very young age when, in 1975, he edited the catalogue of Harald Szeemann’s legendary exhibition The Bachelor Machines. Known for his monographic shows of the work of Marcel Duchamp, Alberto Giacometti and Balthus, but also for innovative thematic ones such as L’âme au corps and Les Réalismes, in Venice Jean Clair curated a vast exhibition titled Identità Alterità. Figure del corpo (Identity and Alterity: Figures of the Body 1895/1995), which traced changes in the way the human figure was depicted over the twentieth century. The exhibition was held at Palazzo Grassi (to ensure the climate control required to secure the loan of certain works), Museo Correr and the Giardini’s Central Pavilion. This last site also housed the exhibition Impronte del corpo e della mente (Impressions of the Body and Mind), curated by Adalgisa Lugli. Some Italian critics expressed disappointment that Clair’s exhibition did not explicitly celebrate La Biennale history. Further complaints arose over the cancellation of “Aperto”, the section for young artists, which resulted in a Biennale more centred on the past than the future. Despite all the criticism, Identità Alterità stood out from all previous editions in that it was less concerned with contemporary artistic innovations and more

12.3 Marina Abramović, Balkan Baroque, 1997

The 47th International Art Exhibition took place in a climate of uncertainty within the institution, which led to the adoption of a new statute the following year, in 1998. The artistic director of the Visual Arts department was Germano Celant, the famous curator and art historian who was back at the Biennale after his 1976 exhibition in the Central Pavilion, Ambiente/Arte (Environment / Art). In 1997, with just a few months to prepare, Celant put together Futuro Presente Passato (Future Present Past). This exhibition did away with previous distinctions between the traditional section and Aperto, presenting a one-of-a-kind showcase combining work by three generations of artists active from the 1960s to the end of the 1990s. Serbian artist Marina Abramović’s Balkan Baroque, a video installation and performance which won her the International Award
for best artist, was a highlight of the Central Pavilion. For the entire duration of the exhibition, the white-clad artist sat in a dark basement space on a mountain of cow bones, scrubbing them with a wire brush. Whether seen as a cathartic rite or strange exorcism, this performance could immediately be read as a reference to the terrible episodes of ethnic cleansing in the Balkans: a tragic allegory for the fate of Yugoslavia, scarred by civil wars and ethnic conflict, which dealt yet another blow to the twentieth-century myth of the nation state and foreshadowed new localisms and sovereignties. CA

12.4 dAPERTutto, Harald Szeemann’s first Biennale Arte, 1999

1999 was a year of change: Paolo Baratta’s presidency brought major transformations that radically altered the institutional framework of La Biennale di Venezia. Rather than a state-run body frequently affected by political dynamics, it was now a public institution governed by private law, with a smaller board of directors and greater decision-making autonomy. This transformation also ushered in a new exhibition model, which moved away from the multiple commissions of the past in the direction of a single professional curator entrusted to make subjective yet responsible choices that would offer a unique, cohesive vision of contemporary art.

That year Baratta appointed Swiss curator Harald Szeemann, who had already worked with La Biennale on The Bachelor Machines in 1975 and on Aperto 80, to direct the Visual Arts department. Szeemann’s exhibition was called dAPERTutto - APERTO over ALL - APERTO par TOUT - APERTO über ALL and the title alone revealed his aim: to integrate the Aperto section into the main exhibition in a way that would highlight the importance of the work being done by younger generations, welcoming in a range of influences and information from an explosive number of directions, across national and symbolic borders. The exhibition stood out for its curiosity about regions and languages typically neglected by earlier editions. It focused in particular on contemporary Chinese art, which was given equal standing alongside the work of Western artists, and with no distinctions between well-established and emerging talents. The overall impression was of a bubbling ocean of creativity, a global, non-hierarchical, endlessly interconnected landscape, which some critics saw as the definitive “festivalisation” of art as a new form of mass entertainment.

The 1999 jury

The jury that year was made up of Zdenka Badovinac, Okwui Enwezor, Ida Gianelli, Yuko Hasegawa, and Rosa Martinez. Martinez was also invited, together with Maria de Corral, to curate the 51st International Art Exhibition of 2005, while the Nigerian curator Enwezor returned to Venice as artistic director of Biennale Arte in 2015, after curating important exhibitions around the world such as the 2002 edition of Documenta. Ida Gianelli, who was on the advisory panel in 1997, chaired the jury in 2005, and the following year curated the first Padiglione Italia at the new Tese delle Vergini site, with a presentation by Giuseppe Penone and Francesco Vezzoli. In the 1999 edition, Golden
Lions for contemporary art went to Bruce Nauman and Louise Bourgeois, while Doug Aitken, Shirin Neshat, and Cai Guo-Qiang won the International Award, and Lee Bul, Georges Adéagbo, Eija-Liisa Ahtila and Katarzyna Korzyra each won a special mention. The Golden Lion for best national participation went to Italy, which did not have its own full-blown pavilion that time, but was instead taking part in the international exhibition with a sort of virtual pavilion, for which director Szeemann had singled out the work of five young female Italian artists. The winners were Monica Bonvicini, Bruna Esposito, Luisa Lambri, Paola Pivi and Grazia Toderi. CA

12.5 Carolyn Carlson and the birth of Biennale Danza, 1999

Finnish American dancer and choreographer Carolyn Carlson (1943-) turns up in the history of La Biennale on many occasions. Her first appearance there in 1968 was in Alwin Nikolais’s “total artwork” Imago. In the 1980s she directed Teatro Danza La Fenice; this modern research group was the brainchild of Teatro La Fenice artistic director Italo Gomez, a firm champion of an entirely new approach to dance, since opera theatres traditionally work with a permanent ballet company. In 1999, this unique “imprinting” led to her launch La Biennale’s new Dance department at the invitation of President Paolo Baratta, who supported her innovative mission to explore the poetry of movement, foster personal and collective creativity, and train the next generation – as one can see from Accademia Isola Danza, which welcomed young talents from around the world. In 1975 France named her étoile choreographer (a new title) of the Opéra de Paris, where she headed the GRTOP ensemble. It was in France and Italy that her spiritual approach to the body and nature helped spread a form of contemporary dance fuelled by visionary improvisation. Her vibrantly imaginative approach has been a powerful inspiration to many performers and choreographers, starting with the Sosta Palmizi company founded in 1985 under her influence, which spearheaded the new current in Italian dance. She launched her cycle of work for La Biennale in 1999 with Parabola, created for and with her pupils at Teatro Verde, a restored open-air theatre space on the island of San Giorgio. She also presented Il vuoto nell’acqua and Solo Donna with many leading figures from the international dance world: Malou Airaudo, Mui Cheuk-Yin, Carla Fracci, Raffaella Giordano, Nina Hyvarinen, Sabine Kupferberg, Eva La Yerbabuena, Susanne Linke, Barbara Martinini, Madhavi Muqgdal, Marie-Claude Pietragalla, Helena Pikon, Talia Posner, Caterina Sagna, Anna Sariola, Rina Shenfeld, Alarmel Valli, Elsa Wollaston, Kazuo Ohno in La Argentina, and Marie Chouinard, now the artistic director of La Biennale’s Dance department.

12.6A 5th International Architecture Exhibition, curated by Francesco Dal Co, 1991

In 1988, during the second of Paolo Portoghesi’s four-year terms as artistic director, Francesco Dal Co was appointed curator of the Architecture section. The fifth International
Architecture Exhibition was held in 1991, and brought together Dal Co’s work and initiatives over the previous four years. Convinced of the need to take the Biennale in an international direction, Dal Co brought in a new feature, modelling this edition after the Biennale Arte format and inviting over thirty-five countries to take part. The national pavilion proposals included Austria with work from Coop Himmelb(l)au, the United States with projects by Peter Eisenman and Frank O. Gehry, Switzerland with a Herzog & de Meuron retrospective and Norway with Sverre Fehn. In the Central Pavilion, Dal Co presented forty Italian architects “for the 1990s”, including Gae Aulenti, Massimiliano Fuxas, Aldo Aymonino, Giancarlo De Carlo, Renzo Piano, Luciano Semerani and Ettore Sottsass.

Two episodes stood out in this edition: the construction of the Padiglione del Libro (Book Pavilion) designed by James Stirling on the avenue leading into the Giardini, and the Corderie exhibition of work from forty-three architecture schools all over the world. This marked the birth of the Premio Venezia award for schools, selected from a range of cultural, social, and historical contexts with an effort to include those marginalised from the hubs of international architectural debate. Through this initiative the Biennale created a place for dialogue and exchange, periodically turning the Corderie into a sort of temporary workshop for young architects from around the globe.

Dal Co also reiterated the importance of interaction between the Biennale and Venice, as one can see from the three competitions held during his term from 1988 onwards. They focused, respectively, on the redesign of the Central Pavilion in the Giardini (won by Francesco Cellini), the renovation of the Palazzo del Cinema on the Lido (won by Rafael Moneo), and the refurbishment of Piazzale Roma as “A Gateway to Venice”, open to architects and engineers from all over the world (won by Jeremy Dixon and Edward Jones). Contrary to La Biennale’s hopes, none of these proposals was ever actually carried out – unlike Stirling’s Padiglione del Libro, which is considered one of the most enduring results of this edition. Massimo Scolari’s Aliante installation, which was then sited by the Fondamenta della Tana as an outdoor sign of the architecture school exhibition, also survived and is now at IUAV University of Venice’s Cotonificio building in Santa Marta.

12.6B 6th International Architecture Exhibition, Sensing the Future: The Architect as Seismograph, curated by Hans Hollein, 1996

The sixth edition of Biennale Architettura was curated for the first time by a figure of international standing, Hans Hollein. This Austrian architect continued Dal Co’s approach and followed the Biennale Arte model in his organisation of the event, hosting national contributions. The main exhibition set up at the Central Pavilion of the Giardini, Sensing the Future: The Architect as Seismograph, explored how architects can capture underground movements in the present and project them into the future. Hollein believed that in the 1990s architects no longer belonged to schools or movements but were autonomous.
figures, unfettered by classifications. As a result of phenomena that had yet to be taken into real consideration, such as communication systems and new technologies, architects were changing the key places in cities, challenging the traditional idea of urban space inherited from the past.

Around seventy architects were invited to exhibit projects considered especially significant, worthy of passing down to future generations. Designs by the most eminent architects on the international scene were exhibited in the Central Pavilion, including Frank O. Gehry with his Bilbao Guggenheim project, Tadao Ando, Jean Nouvel, Renzo Piano, Zaha Hadid, Coop Himmelb(l)au, Peter Eisenman, Norman Foster, Herzog & de Meuron, Arata Isozaki, Toyo Ito, Philippe Starck, Jørn Utzon, Álvaro Siza Vieira, Massimiliano Fuksas, Rem Koolhaas and Rafael Moneo.

Alongside globally recognised architects, Hollein organised the exhibition *Emerging Voices*, which displayed the work of promising young architects from all over the world, such as Odile Decq, Liz Diller with Ricardo Scofidio, Peter Zumthor, Ben van Berkel, and Kazuyo Sejima. The Central Pavilion was also the site of a retrospective titled *Radicals*, focusing on the most radical urban architecture experiments from the late 1950s to the early 1960s. The Italian section, curated by Marino Folin, was presented in the Central Pavilion with large-scale facades and designs by the generation of young architects then in their thirties. A photographic exhibition – the result of a collaboration between photographer Gabriele Basilico and architect Stefano Boeri – examined the urban and natural landscape of Italy.

In 1996 a career Golden Lion was also created for the Biennale Architettura. In its first edition it was awarded to three great twentieth-century architects: Ignazio Gardella, Philip Johnson and Oscar Niemeyer. The Golden Lion for best national participation went to Japan that year while the awards for best project went to Odile Decq and Benoît Cornette, Juha Kaakko, Ilkka Laine, Kimmo Liimatainen, Jari Tirkkonen and Enric Miralles Moya. Three figures who had made important contributions to architecture in very different capacities were awarded special Osella awards: Pascal Maragall, mayor of Barcelona, for his architecture and town planning initiatives, Wim Wenders for his attention to architecture in media and film, and Gabriele Basilico for his photographic work.  MCC

### 12.7 The history of the Giardini’s national pavilions

The first International Art Exhibition of the City of Venice was held at the Giardini di Castello in 1895. At that time the only exhibition space was Palazzo dell’Esposizione, which changed its name frequently in the twentieth century (Palazzo Pro Arte, Padiglione Italia, Padiglione Centrale) and hosted the main exhibitions as well as the Italian one. In 1907 the first national pavilion, Belgium’s, was built in the Palazzo dell’Esposizione area, followed by those of Germany, Great Britain and Hungary in 1909, France and Holland in 1912, and Russia in 1914. In 1914, the year World War One broke out, the Giardini map
reflected the great powers that were soon to become pivotal players in the diplomatic and political games of twentieth-century Europe. The construction of the national pavilions carried on the spirit of the great universal exhibitions of the late nineteenth century, expressing the desire to create an open international dialogue, and to measure Italian art – which in the first decades of the twentieth century was housed in the Palazzo dell’Esposizione – against that of other countries. In the 1930s La Biennale’s secretary general, Antonio Maraini, grasped the importance of this international aspect and sped up the building of other pavilions: the United States in 1930, Denmark in 1932, and Austria and Greece in 1934. Maraini dreamed of a Biennale that would be a “Geneva of the arts”, a United Nations of Art where each country would bring its finest work to Venice. By the outbreak of World War Two there were seventeen pavilions in the Giardini. In the decades that followed new pavilions were built, expanding participation to include South American countries such as Venezuela in 1954, Uruguay in 1960, and Brazil in 1964. From the East, Japan arrived in 1956, Australia in 1987 and the Republic of Korea in 1995. By 1995 there were twenty-eight pavilions (although more countries than this were actually taking part, since some pavilions were shared by several nations). By 1986 all available space at the Giardini had been used up, and many nations were hosted in the Arsenale and other sites throughout the city. At the 2019 edition of the Biennale Arte there were eighty-eight national pavilions.

The Biennale’s pavilions not only offer an overview of the art coming out of different countries, but show a complex mosaic of the ideologies, diplomatic power balances, and geopolitical and colonial dynamics underlying twentieth-century history. In over one hundred years of history, La Biennale has seen world wars, the emergence and disappearance of states – Israel, the two Germanies reunified, the Soviet Union and the former Yugoslavia – and other fluctuating fortunes. Pavilions have changed names and been closed in protest, boycotted and even occupied by other countries. Their architecture has been modernised to reflect new aspirations or hide embarrassing skeletons in the closet. These transformations have reflected changes in the very concept of the nation state, which has continued to evolve since the 1990s and in the new millennium, with the birth of a united Europe.

Okwui Enwezor, the Nigerian curator who directed the Biennale Arte 2015, made an in-depth study of the history of the pavilions, which was published in the *All the World’s Futures* catalogue as the essay “Exploding Gardens”: “More than just a fairground for an exhibition, the Giardini represents, in miniature, a scene of processes of national determination and social upheaval. […] The garden is a molecular landscape of accreted fragments, a topography of atomized residue”.

Some of the buildings in the Giardini are significant examples of modern architecture, like the Austrian pavilion by Josef Hoffmann (1934), the Finnish pavilion by Alvar Aalto (1956) the Dutch pavilion by Gerrit Rietveld (1953), or the Nordic pavilion by Sverre Fehn (1962). Alongside them we find the work of Italian architects, such as Carlo Scarpa’s Venezuelan pavilion (1954) and the BBPR group’s Canadian pavilion (1958). The national pavilion buildings
are all owned either by the countries themselves or by
the City of Venice, and are managed by the respective
governments or by foundations responsible for building
maintenance and national participation in the exhibition.

In the global panorama of biennales, the national pavilion
formula is unique and exclusive to La Biennale di Venezia.
Over the years this model has been criticised and accused
of being anachronistic, but it has been defended with equal
erservour by those who consider it an irreplaceable tool for
the dissemination of art and culture. CA
In 1887 Venice hosted the Esposizione Nazionale Artistica (National Artistic Exposition), a major event that had already been held in other Italian cities to bolster the new national identity. The event was eagerly anticipated by the local government, which hoped it would boost the Venetian economy. Large buildings were therefore constructed on the Giardini di Castello shore, but ultimately the exhibition left a large hole in the city budget. Despite this the event was considered a dress rehearsal for the first Biennale, held in 1895. In 1894 the old riding school designed by Tommaso Meduna was partially knocked down. Over the years it had become a sort of zoo, its main attraction being a gift from the royal family to the children of Venice: Toni the elephant, also known as “the prisoner in the Giardini”. A single building was to be constructed incorporating some rooms from the former structure, like its main hall (which became the concert hall). The project was overseen by the engineer Enrico Trevisanato, while the facade was designed by the painters Bartolomeo Bezzi and Mario De Maria. Their temple of art (called “Pro Arte”) was inspired by Neoclassical architecture and especially that of Munich, home to the first Secession. In 1914 the facade was redone in Art Nouveau style by architect Guido Cirilli, who removed the colonnade, adding decorative elements and two elegant little towers on the sides. In 1928 Antonio Maraini decided to have the towers removed, and in 1932 the architect Duilio Torres was commissioned to remodel the central building entirely. The first design for the new facade dates to 1931; though the effect was quite different, it simply modified the pre-existing one by Cirilli and added four plain columns holding up the linear pediment. Above the colonnade, topped by a jutting cornice, the word ITALIA was placed between sculptor Tony Lucarda’s two square reliefs of St Mark’s lion and the imperial Roman eagle. The new facade was made of beton concrete and work was completed in just two months, from February to April 1932. As for the renovation of the interior, Torres aimed for a
clean look, choosing pale colours for the walls and attempting to create sightlines between the spaces, in a tidy string of rooms. Careful attention was paid to the arrangement of the works, “not square metres of paintings, but a spacious, serene exhibition, of almost impossible logic and symmetry”. In 1938 the facade was adorned with two large frescoes on either side of the doors, allegories of Venice and Rome by Antonio Santagata and Franco Gentilini respectively. In 1940 two large sculptures were added: allegories of painting and sculpture by Napoleone Martinuzzi and Umberto Baglioni, which are still in the Giardini. In 1968 the architect Carlo Scarpa covered over the Fascist facade with geometric elements made from various materials, effectively deconstructing Torres’ straightforward design with a cladding that remained in place throughout the 1970s. In the same year, Louis Kahn also created designs for the renovation of the Palazzo dell’Esposizione, which were never carried out. VP
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TICTEKS
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Free tickets can be requested to promozione@labiennale.org at least 3 days before the visit day
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Available in Italian, English, French, Spanish, and German
Reservation required at least 7 days before the visit date,
by filling out the form on www.labiennale.org
Average duration 1h.
Admission ticket is not included and must be purchased separately
Available from Tuesday until Sunday, from 29 August until 8 December
and on special opening Mondays (31 August, 7 September, 2 November, 7 December)
Prices*:
Adults € 40
Students (High-schools/Universities) € 25
* prices are per group (max 20 participants per group)
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Available on Saturdays and Sundays in Italian and English, from 29 August until 8 December
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Price € 5*
* Admission ticket is not included and must be purchased separately
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Animals are not admitted to the Exhibition venue

TICKETS AND GUIDED TOURS INFORMATION
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promozione@labiennale.org
Ph. +39 041 5218 828
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HOW TO REACH THE EXHIBITION VENUES
From Venice Bus Station (Piazzale Roma) and from Railway Station (Venezia S. Lucia):
to Giardini: ACTV lines 1, 2, 4.1, 5.1, (6 only from Piazzale Roma)

PLAN YOUR VISIT
NOTICE: to comply with the health emergency protocols required for cultural activities, visitors are requested to come with face masks, and to respect their chosen visit day and time slot.
Visitors are kindly asked to wear face masks inside the Central Pavilion and to keep a one-metre safety distance from other people during their visit.